

INTERNATIONAL ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA Published Online: February 2023 DOI: 10.24330/ieja.1252751

A NOTE ON THE SOLVABILITY OF A FINITE GROUP IN WHICH EVERY NON-NILPOTENT MAXIMAL SUBGROUP IS NORMAL

Wenjing Liu, Jiangtao Shi and Yunfeng Tian

Received: 17 October 2022; Accepted: 13 December 2022 Communicated by Abdullah Harmancı

ABSTRACT. We provide a new and simple proof to show that a finite group in which every non-nilpotent maximal subgroup is normal is solvable.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 20D10 Keywords: Non-nilpotent maximal subgroup, solvable group, normal subgroup of prime-power order

1. Introduction

In this paper all groups are assumed to be finite. It is known that every maximal subgroup of a group G is normal if and only if G is a nilpotent group. As a generalization, Li and Shi [1] gave a proof to show that the following result holds.

Theorem 1.1. [1, Theorem 1.1] A group G with all non-nilpotent maximal subgroups being normal is solvable.

Moreover, based on the solvability of the group G in [1, Theorem 1.1], Shi [3, Theorem 5] proved that such a group G has a Sylow tower.

In this paper, our main goal is to provide a new and simpler proof of [1, Theorem 1.1], see Section 2.

2. New proof of [1, Theorem 1.1]

Proof. We first claim that G has a normal subgroup of prime-power order.

Suppose not. We divide the following discussions into three cases.

Case 1: Assume that every maximal subgroup of G is nilpotent. It follows that G is either a nilpotent group or a minimal non-nilpotent group. Then one can easily get that G has a normal Sylow subgroup that has prime-power order by the structure of minimal non-nilpotent group [2, Theorem 9.1.9], a contradiction.

This research was supported in part by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (ZR2017MA022 and ZR2020MA044) and NSFC (11761079).

Case 2: Assume that every maximal subgroup of G is non-nilpotent. It follows that every maximal subgroup of G is normal by the hypothesis and then G is nilpotent, this contradicts that every maximal subgroup of G is non-nilpotent.

Case 3: Assume that G not only has nilpotent maximal subgroups but also has non-nilpotent maximal subgroups. Since G has no normal subgroup of primepower order, every Sylow p-subgroup P of G is not normal in G for any prime divisor p of |G|, that is $N_G(P) < G$. Then there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that $N_G(P) \leq M$. Note that every non-nilpotent maximal subgroup of Gis normal and P is not normal in G, one has that M is nilpotent by the Frattiniargument. Therefore, every Sylow subgroup of G is contained in some nilpotent maximal subgroup of G.

For any nilpotent maximal subgroup M of G, if there exists a prime divisor q of |M| such that the Sylow q-subgroup Q_M of M is not a Sylow q-subgroup of G, then $N_G(Q_M) > M$ as M being nilpotent. It follows that Q_M is a normal subgroup of G of prime-power order since M is maximal in G, a contradiction.

Next assume that every Sylow subgroup of M is also a Sylow subgroup of G for any nilpotent maximal subgroup M of G.

For the case when G has exactly one nilpotent maximal subgroup M, then M is normal in G, which implies that G has a normal Sylow subgroup, a contradiction.

For another case when G has at least two nilpotent maximal subgroups. Let M_1 and M_2 be any two distinct nilpotent maximal subgroups of G.

(i) Suppose $(|M_1|, |M_2|) = 1$. Let N be a non-nilpotent maximal subgroup of G, then $G = M_1 N = M_2 N$. One has $|G| = \frac{|M_1||N|}{|M_1 \cap N|} = \frac{|M_2||N|}{|M_2 \cap N|}$ and then $\frac{|M_1|}{|M_1 \cap N|} = \frac{|M_2|}{|M_2 \cap N|}$. Note that $(\frac{|M_1|}{|M_1 \cap N|}, \frac{|M_2|}{|M_2 \cap N|}) = 1$ by the hypothesis. It follows that $|M_1| = |M_1 \cap N|$ and then $M_1 \leq N$. One has $M_1 = N$ since M_1 is maximal in G, a contradiction.

(*ii*) Suppose $(|M_1|, |M_2|) > 1$ and $|M_1| \neq |M_2|$. Then there exists a prime r such that $r \mid (|M_1|, |M_2|)$. Let R_1 be a Sylow r-subgroup of M_1 and R_2 be a Sylow r-subgroup of M_2 . Since both R_1 and R_2 are also Sylow r-subgroups of G, there exists an $x \in G$ such that $R_2 = R_1^x$. That is $R_1^x \in \text{Syl}_r(M_2)$. It follows that $R_1 \in \text{Syl}_r(M_2^{x^{-1}})$. Since $|M_1| \neq |M_2|$, one has $M_1 \neq M_2^{x^{-1}}$. Then $N_G(R_1) \geq \langle M_1, M_2^{x^{-1}} \rangle > M_1$. Thus $N_G(R_1) = G$ since M_1 is maximal in G, which implies that R_1 is a normal Sylow subgroup of G, a contradiction.

(*iii*) Suppose that all nilpotent maximal subgroups of G have the same order. Let M be any nilpotent maximal subgroup of G. Since every Sylow subgroup of G is contained in some nilpotent maximal subgroup of G and all nilpotent maximal subgroups of G have the same order, one has |G| = |M|, a contradiction. All above arguments imply that our assumption is not true. Hence G has a normal subgroup of prime-power order.

In the following let G_1 be a normal subgroup of G of prime-power order. Consider the quotient group G/G_1 . It is clear that every non-nilpotent maximal subgroup of G/G_1 is also normal, arguing as above, one has that G/G_1 has a normal subgroup G_2/G_1 of prime-power order. We go on considering the quotient group G/G_2 , one by one, we can obtain a normal subgroups series: $1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft$ $G_i \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_{s-1} \triangleleft G_s = G$, where s > 1 and every quotient group G_i/G_{i-1} has prime-power order for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. Therefore, one has that G is solvable. \Box

Acknowledgement. The authors are very thankful to the referee who provides valuable comments for our paper.

References

- N. Li and J. Shi, A note on a finite group with all non-nilpotent maximal subgroups being normal, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 42 (2019), 700-702.
- [2] D. J. S. Robinson, A Course in the Theory of Groups (Second Edition), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [3] J. Shi, A finite group in which all non-nilpotent maximal subgroups are normal has a Sylow tower, Hokkaido Math. J., 48(2) (2019), 309-312.

Wenjing Liu, Jiangtao Shi (Corresponding Author) and Yunfeng Tian School of Mathematics and Information Sciences Yantai University Yantai 264005, China e-mails: lwjytu@qq.com (W. Liu) shijt2005@163.com (J. Shi) m18863093906@163.com (Y. Tian)