

## ON A GENERALIZATION OF $z$ -IDEALS IN MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

Seyedeh Fatemeh Mohebian and Hosein Fazaeli Moghimi

Received: 18 November 2023; Revised: 10 July 2024; Accepted: 17 July 2024

Communicated by Meltem Altun Özarlan

**ABSTRACT.** In this article, we introduce and study the concept of  $z$ -submodules as a generalization of  $z$ -ideals. Let  $M$  be a module over a commutative ring with identity  $R$ . A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called a  $z$ -submodule if for any  $x \in M$  and  $y \in N$  such that every maximal submodule of  $M$  containing  $y$  also contains  $x$ , then  $x \in N$  as well. We investigate the properties of  $z$ -submodules, particularly considering their stability with respect to various module constructions. Let  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  denote the lattice of  $z$ -submodules of  $M$  ordered by inclusion. We are concerned with certain mappings between the lattices  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$ . The mappings in question are  $\phi : \mathcal{Z}({}_R R) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  defined by setting for each  $z$ -ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $\phi(I)$  to be the intersection of all  $z$ -submodules of  $M$  containing  $IM$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{Z}({}_R M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$  defined by  $\psi(N)$  is the colon ideal  $(N : M)$ . It is shown that  $\phi$  is a lattice homomorphism, and if  $M$  is a finitely generated multiplication module, then  $\psi$  is also a lattice homomorphism. In particular,  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  is a homomorphic image of  $\mathcal{R}({}_R M)$ , the lattice of radical submodules of  $M$ . Finally, we show that if  $Y$  is a finite subset of a compact Hausdorff  $P$ -space  $X$ , then every submodule of the  $C(X)$ -module  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^Y$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 13C13, 13C99, 06B99, 54C30

**Keywords:**  $z$ -Submodule,  $z$ -ideal, multiplication module, lattice homomorphism

### 1. Introduction

We assume all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary. In 1957, Kohls [11] was the first to use the concept of  $z$ -ideals in the study of the ring of real-valued continuous functions  $C(X)$  on a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ . Nearly two decades later, Mason [13] extended the concept of  $z$ -ideals to any commutative ring with identity. In recent years, the theory of  $z$ -ideals has been developed in several directions (see, for example, [1,2,3,5,6,10,14]). In this article, we introduce the concept of  $z$ -submodules generalizing  $z$ -ideals. This article consists of four sections. In section 2, we study the basic properties of  $z$ -submodules and

investigate their behavior under some standard operations in commutative algebra. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Also, let  $\text{Max}(M)$  denote the set of maximal submodules of  $M$ . For each  $x \in M$ , we set

$$\mathcal{M}(x) := \{K \in \text{Max}(M) \mid x \in K\}.$$

A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called a  $z$ -submodule if for any  $x \in M$  and  $y \in N$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(x) \supseteq \mathcal{M}(y)$  implies that  $x \in N$ . If  $\mathcal{M}(y) = \emptyset$  for some  $y \in N$ , then  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $N = M$ . Evidently,  $z$ -submodules of the  $R$ -module  $R$  coincide with the  $z$ -ideals of  $R$ . Maximal submodules of any  $R$ -module  $M$  are  $z$ -submodules of  $M$ . For any two submodules  $N$  and  $L$  of  $M$ , we take  $(N : L) := \{r \in R \mid rL \subseteq N\}$  which is the colon ideal of  $L$  into  $N$ . It is shown that if  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $(N : M)$  is a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$  (Lemma 2.2). For any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , the  $z$ -taking of  $N$ , denoted  $N_z$ , is the intersection of all  $z$ -submodules of  $M$  containing  $N$ . It is clear that  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $N_z = N$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A proper submodule  $P$  of  $M$  is called a *prime submodule* if for  $\mathfrak{p} = (P : M)$ , whenever  $rm \in P$  for  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ , we have  $r \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $m \in P$ . The *radical* of a submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , denoted  $\text{rad } N$ , is the intersection of all prime submodules of  $M$  containing  $N$  or, in case there are no such prime submodules,  $\text{rad } N$  is  $M$ . For an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$ , we assume that  $\sqrt{I}$  denotes the radical of  $I$ . A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called a *radical submodule* if  $\text{rad } N = N$  (For more information on prime and radical submodules, the reader may consult [12] for example). It is shown that every  $z$ -submodule of a multiplication module is a radical submodule (Proposition 2.4). It is seen that the  $z$ -taking of submodules enjoy analogs of many properties of radical submodules. For instance, it is shown that for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $(IM)_z = (I_zM)_z$  (Theorem 2.6). For any subset  $S$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  denote the set of maximal submodules of  $M$  containing  $S$ . As a generalization of  $z$ -submodules, any submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called a *strongly  $z$ -submodule* of  $M$  or briefly *sz-submodule* if for any two finite subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $M$  such that  $S \subseteq N$  and  $\mathcal{M}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(T)$ , we have  $T \subseteq N$ . Also, an  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *sz-ideal* if it is a  $z$ -submodule of the  $R$ -module  $R$ . It is shown that, if  $M$  is a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $R$ -module and  $I$  is a *sz-ideal* of  $R$ , then  $IM$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  (Theorem 2.7). Note that if  $R = C(X)$ , then by [1, p. 255] the concept of  $z$ -ideal coincides with the *sz-ideal*. Using this fact, it is proved that if  $R = C(X)$ , then every *sz-submodule* of a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $R$ -module is an intersection of prime  $z$ -submodules (Corollary 2.9).

It is shown that if  $F$  is a free  $R$ -module, then for any  $z$ -ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $IF$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $F$  (Corollary 2.16) and in particular,  $(IF)_z = I_z F$  (Corollary 2.17).

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. The collection  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  consisting of all  $z$ -submodules of  $M$  forms a lattice with the operations  $N \vee L = (N + L)_z$  and  $N \wedge L = N \cap L$ , for all  $z$ -submodules  $N$  and  $L$  of  $M$ . Recently, various properties of certain mappings between different types of module lattices have been examined by the second author and others (see [9,15,16,17,20]) whose motivation stems back to P. F. Smith's works (see [23,24,25]). In section 3, we will deal with the mappings  $\phi : \mathcal{Z}({}_R R) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  defined by  $\phi(I) = (IM)_z$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{Z}({}_R M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$  defined by  $\psi(N) = (N : M)$ . It is shown that  $\phi$  is a lattice homomorphism (Lemma 3.1), but  $\psi$  is not in general (Example 3.3). In particular, if  $M$  is a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module, then  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  is a homomorphic image of the lattice  $\mathcal{R}({}_R M)$  consisting of all radical submodules of  $M$  (Corollary 3.2). It is also shown that if  $R = C(X)$  and  $M$  is a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module, then  $\psi$  is a lattice homomorphism (Theorem 3.4). In particular, if  $M$  is a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $R$ -module, then  $\phi$  is a lattice isomorphism, and  $\psi$  is its inverse (Corollary 3.11).

Finally, in Section 4, we present a non-trivial example of a finitely generated faithful multiplication module over the ring of continuous functions  $C(X)$ , where  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff  $P$ -space, all of whose submodules are  $z$ -submodules. Indeed, if  $Y$  is a finite subset of a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  consisting of all real-valued functions with domain  $Y$  is a multiplication  $C(X)$ -module (Theorem 4.1), and if in addition  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, then  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a flat  $C(X)$ -module (Theorem 4.2). In particular,  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $C(X)$ -module (Corollary 4.3), and therefore every submodule of it is a  $z$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  (Corollary 4.4).

## 2. $z$ -Submodules

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{M}(x)$  denotes the set of all maximal submodules of  $M$  containing  $x$ . To begin, let's consider the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. If for any  $r, s \in R$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(r) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}(rm) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(sm)$  for all  $m \in M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $m \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{M}(rm)$ . If  $m \in K$ , then  $sm \in K$  and so  $K \in \mathcal{M}(sm)$ , otherwise  $(K : Rm)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  and in particular,  $(K : Rm) \in \mathcal{M}(r)$  (note that if  $K$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$ , then  $M/K$  is a non-zero simple  $R$ -module, and hence  $(K : M) = \text{Ann}(M/K)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . In particular,

since  $(K : M) \subseteq (K : Rm)$  for all  $m \in M$ , it follows that  $(K : Rm)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . So by the assumption  $(K : Rm) \in \mathcal{M}(s)$ . Hence we have  $sm \in K$  which implies that  $K \in \mathcal{M}(sm)$ .  $\square$

The next result relates the  $z$ -submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$  to the  $z$ -ideals of  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $(N : M)$  is a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathcal{M}(r) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(s)$  for  $r \in (N : M)$  and  $s \in R$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have  $\mathcal{M}(rm) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(sm)$  for all  $m \in M$ . Now, since  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ , we conclude that  $sm \in N$  for all  $m \in M$ , and so  $s \in (N : M)$ .  $\square$

The following lemma collects some frequently used facts on  $z$ -taking of submodules.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $N$  and  $L$  be submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of submodules of  $M$ . Then:*

- (1)  $N \subseteq N_z$ ;
- (2) If  $N \subseteq L$ , then  $N_z \subseteq L_z$ ;
- (3)  $N_z = (N_z)_z$ ;
- (4)  $(\cap_{i \in I} N_i)_z \subseteq \cap_{i \in I} (N_i)_z$ ;
- (5)  $(\sum_{i \in I} N_i)_z = (\sum_{i \in I} (N_i)_z)_z$ ;
- (6)  $(N : M)_z \subseteq (N_z : M)$ ;
- (7)  $\sqrt{(N : M)} \subseteq (N_z : M)$ .

**Proof.** (1)-(5) are straightforward.

(6) It is clear that for any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $(N : M) \subseteq (N_z : M)$ . Thus by Lemma 2.2,  $(N : M)_z \subseteq (N_z : M)_z = (N_z : M)$ .

(7) Since every  $z$ -ideal is radical, we conclude by Lemma 2.2 that  $\sqrt{(N : M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(N_z : M)} = (N_z : M)$ .  $\square$

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a *multiplication  $R$ -module*, if for every submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $N = IM$ . It is easy to see that  $M$  is a multiplication  $R$ -module if and only if for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $N = (N : M)M$ . Cyclic modules, ideals of Dedekind domains, and ideals of regular rings are well-known examples of multiplication modules. It is noted that by Lemma 2.2 and [5, Corollary 1], every  $z$ -submodule of a multiplication  $R$ -module  $M$  is of the form  $nM$  for some square-free integer  $n$ .

As shown in [13, p. 281], every  $z$ -ideal of a ring  $R$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ . Using this fact, we give a similar result for  $z$ -submodules of multiplication modules.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Every  $z$ -submodule of any multiplication  $R$ -module  $M$  is a radical submodule of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ . Then by [7, Theorem 2.12] and Lemma 2.2, we have  $\text{rad } N = \sqrt{(N : M)}M = (N : M)M = N$ .  $\square$

As stated in [12, Proposition 3.1], for each radical ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  and any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have  $(IM : M) = I$  if and only if  $I \supseteq \text{Ann}(M)$ . This fact is used in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $(IM : M)_z = (I + \text{Ann}(M))_z$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $J$  be a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$  containing  $(IM : M)$ . Then  $\text{Ann}(M) \subseteq J$  and  $I \subseteq (IM : M) \subseteq J$  which implies  $(I + \text{Ann}(M)) \subseteq J$ . Therefore  $(I + \text{Ann}(M))_z \subseteq (IM : M)_z$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $J$  be a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$  containing  $(I + \text{Ann}(M))$ . Then since  $J$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ ,  $(IM : M) \subseteq (JM : M) = J$ . Hence we have  $(IM : M)_z \subseteq (I + \text{Ann}(M))_z$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $(IM)_z = (I_z M)_z$ . In particular, if  $M$  is a multiplication  $R$ -module, then for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $N_z = ((N : M)_z M)_z$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $K$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  containing  $IM$ . Since  $(K : M)$  is a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$ ,  $I_z \subseteq (K : M)$  and hence  $I_z M \subseteq (K : M)M \subseteq K$ . It follows that  $(I_z M)_z \subseteq (IM)_z$ . The reverse inclusion is obvious. The ‘‘in particular’’ part follows by taking  $I = (N : M)$ .  $\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For any subset  $S$  of  $M$ , we recall that  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  is the set of maximal submodules of  $M$  containing  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_S$  denote the intersection of all elements of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$ . Evidently,  $N$  is a  $sz$ -submodule of  $M$  iff for any finite subset  $S$  of  $N$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_S \subseteq N$  (see for example [1,2] for more details about  $sz$ -ideals).

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then:*

- (1) *If  $M$  is a faithful multiplication  $R$ -module and  $I$  is a  $sz$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $IM$  is a  $sz$ -submodule (and therefore a  $z$ -submodule) of  $M$ ;*
- (2) *If  $M$  is a faithful  $R$ -module and  $IM$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $I$  is a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** (1) Let  $M = Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \cdots + Rx_n$ . Moreover, let  $S = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$  and  $T = \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$  be two subsets of  $M$  such that  $S \subseteq IM$  and  $\mathcal{M}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(T)$ . Since  $S \subseteq IM$ , there exist  $r_{ij} \in I$  such that for any  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij}x_j$ . Also, since  $T \subseteq (RT : M)M$ , there exist  $s_{ij} \in (RT : M)$  such that for any  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}x_j$ . We set  $U = \{r_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  and  $V = \{s_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ , and show that  $\mathcal{M}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(V)(*)$ . For this, we assume that  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{M}(U)$ . It follows that  $S \subseteq UM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}M$ . Now, since by [7, Theorem 2.5]  $\mathfrak{m}M$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$ , we have  $\mathfrak{m}M \in \mathcal{M}(S)$  and so  $\mathfrak{m}M \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ . Therefore  $V \subseteq (RT : M) \subseteq (\mathfrak{m}M : M) = \mathfrak{m}$ , which yields that  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ . Thus  $(*)$  holds and since  $I$  is a  $sz$ -ideal, we have  $V \subseteq I$ . Then  $T \subseteq IM$ , as desired.

(2) Since  $I$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ , we have  $(IM : M) = I$  by [12, Proposition 3.1]. Thus, the result follows from Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , we let  $N_{sz}$  denote the intersection of all  $sz$ -submodules of  $M$  containing  $N$ . Note that, since any  $sz$ -submodule is a  $z$ -submodule, we have  $N_z \subseteq N_{sz}$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  be a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $R$ -module and  $N$  a submodule of  $M$ . Then  $(N : M)_z \subseteq (N_z : M) \subseteq (N : M)_{sz}$ . In particular, if  $R = C(X)$ , then  $(N : M)_z = (N_z : M) = (N : M)_{sz}$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3(6),  $(N : M)_z \subseteq (N_z : M)$ . To establish the reverse inclusion, we assume that  $I$  is a  $sz$ -ideal of  $R$  containing  $(N : M)$ . Then  $N \subseteq IM$ , and hence by Theorem 2.7(1), we have  $N_z \subseteq IM$ , and so  $(N_z : M) \subseteq I$ . Therefore  $(N_z : M) \subseteq (N : M)_{sz}$ , as required. The ‘‘in particular part’’ follows from the previous part and a fact given in [1, p. 225] which follows that the concept of  $z$ -ideal coincides with the  $sz$ -ideal in  $C(X)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $R = C(X)$  and  $M$  be a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $R$ -module. Then every  $sz$ -submodule of  $M$  is an intersection of prime  $z$ -submodules of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a  $sz$ -submodule of  $M$ . Then  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  and so  $(N : M)$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ . Thus  $(N : M) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(N : M)} \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $(N : M)$  is a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$ , it is also a  $sz$ -ideal of  $R$ , and hence by [1, Theorem 3.13], every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(N : M)$  is a  $sz$ -ideal of  $R$ . Thus by [7, Lemma 2.10 and Corollary 2.11]  $\mathfrak{p}M \in \text{Min}(N)$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(N : M)$ , and by Theorem 2.7(1), these  $\mathfrak{p}M$ 's are  $z$ -submodules of  $M$ . Now, since  $N = (N : M)M = (\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(N : M)} \mathfrak{p})M = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(N : M)} \mathfrak{p}M$  by [7, Theorem 1.6], we conclude that  $N$  is an intersection of prime  $z$ -submodules of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *If  $I$  and  $J$  are two ideals in  $R$ , then*

$$(IJM)_z = ((I \cap J)M)_z = (IM)_z \cap (JM)_z.$$

*In particular, for any positive integer  $n$ ,  $(I^n M)_z = (IM)_z$ .*

**Proof.** To establish the given equality, it suffices to show that  $(IM)_z \cap (JM)_z$  is the smallest  $z$ -submodule containing  $IJM$ . For this, let  $K$  be a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  containing  $IJM$ . Then  $(K : M)$  is a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$  containing  $IJ$ , and so  $(K : M) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(K : M)} \mathfrak{p}$ . Consequently, for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(K : M)$ , we have  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  or  $J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . In any case,  $I_z M \subseteq \mathfrak{p}M$  or  $J_z M \subseteq \mathfrak{p}M$ . Thus for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(K : M)$ , we have  $(I_z M)_z \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}M)_z$  or  $(J_z M)_z \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}M)_z$  which implies that  $(IM)_z \subseteq K$  or  $(JM)_z \subseteq K$ . Therefore  $(IM)_z \cap (JM)_z \subseteq K$ , as required. The ‘‘in particular’’ part is obtained easily by induction on  $n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $M$  and  $M'$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  be a surjective  $R$ -module homomorphism, and  $\text{Ker } f$  is contained in each maximal submodule of  $M$ . Then:*

- (1) *If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N'$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M'$ , then  $f^{-1}(N')$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ ;*
- (2) *If  $M'$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$  such that  $N + \text{Ker } f$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $f(N)$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M'$ .*

**Proof.** (1) Suppose that  $N'$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M'$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(b)$  for  $a \in f^{-1}(N')$  and  $b \in M$ . We show that  $\mathcal{M}(f(a)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(f(b))$ . For this, we let  $K' \in \text{Max}(M)$  and  $f(a) \in K'$ . Since  $M$  is finitely generated and  $f^{-1}(K') \neq M$ , there exists a maximal submodule  $K$  of  $M$  containing  $f^{-1}(K')$ . Note that if  $f(K) = M'$ , we get  $M = K + \text{Ker } f = K$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, we have  $f(K) = K'$ . Then, by hypothesis,  $f^{-1}(K') = K$ . Since  $a \in f^{-1}(K')$ , we have  $f^{-1}(K') \in \mathcal{M}(a)$ . So,  $b \in f^{-1}(K')$ , and  $f(b) \in K'$ .

(2) Suppose that  $N + \text{Ker } f$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(f(a)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(f(b))$  for  $f(a) \in f(N)$  and  $b \in M$ . We show that  $\mathcal{M}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(b)$ . For this, we assume that  $K \in \text{Max}(M)$  and  $a \in K$ . It is noted that if  $f(K) = M'$ , since  $f$  is surjective, we have  $M = K + \text{Ker } f = K$ , a contradiction. Thus since  $M'$  is finitely generated and  $f(K) \neq M'$ , there exists  $L' \in \text{Max}(M')$  such that  $f(K) \subseteq L'$ . Letting  $L' = f(L)$ , we conclude that  $K \subseteq L + \text{Ker } f \subseteq M$ . Consequently,  $K = L + \text{Ker } f$  (note that if  $L + \text{Ker } f = M$ , then we get  $L' = f(L) = f(M) = M'$  which is a contradiction). Hence we have  $f(K) \in \text{Max}(M')$  and  $f(K) \in \mathcal{M}(f(a))$ . It follows that  $f(b) \in f(K)$  and so  $b \in K + \text{Ker } f = K$ , we are done. Now, since  $\mathcal{M}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(b)$  and  $a \in N + \text{Ker } f$ , we have  $b \in N + \text{Ker } f$ . Thus  $f(b) \in f(N)$ , as required.  $\square$

The following example illustrates Theorem 2.11.

**Example 2.12.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of integers and  $M_n = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of integers modulo  $p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $M_n$  is cyclic, it is clear that every proper submodule of  $M_n$  is of the form  $(\overline{p^k})$  for some  $1 \leq k < n$ . In particular,  $(\overline{p})$  is the only maximal submodule of  $M_n$ , and so  $\mathcal{M}(\overline{p^k}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\overline{p})$ . It follows that if  $k > 1$ , then  $(\overline{p^k})$  is not a  $z$ -submodule of  $M_n$ . Now, for any two positive integers  $m, n$  with  $m > n$ , we consider the mapping  $f : M_m \rightarrow M_n$  defined by  $f(x + p^m\mathbb{Z}) = x + p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . Evidently,  $f$  is a surjective non-isomorphism whose kernel is contained in  $(\overline{p})$ , and Theorem 2.11 holds by considering  $N = (\overline{p})$  modulo  $p^n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $N' = (\overline{p})$  modulo  $p^m\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $L$  be a submodule of  $M$  contained in each maximal submodule of  $M$ . If  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  containing  $L$ , then  $N/L$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M/L$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the natural projection  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/L$  and apply Theorem 2.11(2).  $\square$

As usual,  $\text{Spec}(M)$  denotes the set of prime submodules of  $M$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  a multiplication  $R$ -module and  $S = R \setminus \cup_{P \in \text{Spec}(M)} (P : M)$ . If  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $S^{-1}N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $S^{-1}M$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\frac{x}{s}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\frac{y}{t})$  and  $\frac{x}{s} \in S^{-1}N$ . Then  $\frac{x}{s} = \frac{n}{s'}$  for some  $n \in N$  and  $s' \in S$ . It follows that  $us'x = usn \in N$  for some  $u \in S$ . We first show that  $\mathcal{M}(us'x) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(y)$ . For this, we let  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$  and  $us'x \in P$ . Now since  $us' \notin (P : M)$ , then we get  $x \in P$ . This implies that  $\frac{x}{s} \in S^{-1}P$ . Since  $M$  is a multiplication  $R$ -module,  $S^{-1}M$  is clearly a multiplication  $S^{-1}R$ -module, and thus by [7, Theorem 2.5],  $S^{-1}P \subseteq S^{-1}Q$  for some maximal submodule  $S^{-1}Q$  of  $S^{-1}M$ . In particular, by [18, Theorem 3.1],  $Q$  is a prime submodule of  $M$  and  $(Q : M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $P \subseteq Q$  and so by maximality of  $P$ ,  $P = Q$ . It follows that  $S^{-1}P = S^{-1}Q$ , and so  $S^{-1}P \in \mathcal{M}(\frac{x}{s})$ . Hence we have  $\frac{y}{t} \in S^{-1}P$  which implies that  $y \in P$ , and therefore  $P \in \mathcal{M}(y)$ . Now, since  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$  we have  $y \in N$ , and so  $\frac{y}{t} \in S^{-1}N$ , as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a non-empty collection of  $R$ -modules and  $M = \oplus_{i \in I} M_i$ . If  $N_i$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $N = \oplus_{i \in I} N_i$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ .*



**Proof.** Let  $\{x_i\} \in N$ ,  $\{y_i\} \in M$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{M}(\{x_i\}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\{y_i\})$ . We first show that  $\mathcal{M}(x_i) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(y_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ . For this, we let  $K \in \mathcal{M}(x_j)$  for fixed  $j \in I$ . Thus  $\{x_i\} \in K \oplus (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)$ . Now since  $K \oplus (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i) \in \mathcal{M}(\{x_i\})$ , we have  $\{y_i\} \in K \oplus (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)$ . Consequently, we can conclude that  $y_j \in K$ , which means that  $\mathcal{M}(x_j) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(y_j)$ . Now, since  $N_i$ 's are  $z$ -submodules and  $x_i \in N_i$ , we have  $y_i \in N_i$ . Therefore  $\{y_i\} \in N$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $F$  be a free  $R$ -module and  $I$  be a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$ . Then  $IF$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $F$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that for any ideal  $I$ , the  $R$ -module  $IF$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $I$ 's. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.15.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.17.** *Let  $F$  be a free  $R$ -module and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $(IF)_z = I_z F$ .*

**Proof.** First note that for any ideal  $I$ , we have  $I_z = (IF : F)_z \subseteq ((IF)_z : F)$  which shows  $I_z F \subseteq (IF)_z$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $J$  be a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$  containing  $I$ . By Corollary 2.16,  $JF$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $F$  containing  $(IF)_z$  and so  $(IF)_z \subseteq \cap \{JF \mid J \text{ is a } z\text{-ideal of } R\}$ . Thus, by [21, p. 51],  $(IF)_z \subseteq (\cap J)F$  where  $J$  runs through the set of  $z$ -ideals containing  $I$ , namely  $(IF)_z \subseteq I_z F$ , as required.  $\square$

### 3. Mappings between lattices of $z$ -submodules

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We recall that the collection of  $z$ -submodules of  $M$  forms a lattice with respect to inclusion order for which  $N \vee L = (N + L)_z$  and  $N \wedge L = N \cap L$  are respectively the supremum and infimum of any two element set  $\{N, L\}$  of  $z$ -submodules of  $M$ . We shall denote the lattice of  $z$ -submodules by  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$ . It should be noted that by [3, Example 2.3], the finite sum of  $z$ -ideals of a ring  $R$  is not necessarily a  $z$ -ideal, and so  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  is not in general a sublattice of the usual lattice  $\mathcal{L}({}_R M)$  consisting of all submodules of  $M$ . (Of course, if  $R = C(X)$  is the ring of continuous functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ , then by [8, p. 198], any finite sum of  $z$ -ideals is a  $z$ -ideal.)

For lattices  $L$  and  $L'$ , a map  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  is a homomorphism of lattices, if  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$  and  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ . Note the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then*

- (1) *The mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{Z}({}_R R) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  defined by  $\phi(I) = (IM)_z$  is a lattice homomorphism;*

- (2) The mapping  $\psi : \mathcal{Z}({}_R M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$  defined by  $\psi(N) = (N : M)$  is a lattice homomorphism if and only if  $((N + L)_z : M) = ((N : M) + (L : M))_z$  for all  $z$ -submodules  $N$  and  $L$  of  $M$ .

**Proof.** (1) First, we verify that  $\phi$  preserves the operation  $\vee$ . For this, let  $I, J \in \mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$ . Using Lemma 2.3(5) and Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(I \vee J) &= \phi((I + J)_z) = ((I + J)_z M)_z = ((I + J)M)_z \\ &= (IM + JM)_z = ((IM)_z + (JM)_z)_z \\ &= (IM)_z \vee (JM)_z = \phi(I) \vee \phi(J). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 2.10, we have

$$\phi(I \wedge J) = \phi(I \cap J) = ((I \cap J)M)_z = (IM)_z \cap (JM)_z = \phi(I) \wedge \phi(J).$$

(2) Clearly for any  $N, L \in \mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  we have

$$\psi(N \wedge L) = (N \cap L : M) = (N : M) \cap (L : M) = \psi(N) \wedge \psi(L).$$

Thus  $\psi$  is a lattice homomorphism if and only if  $\psi(N \vee L) = \psi(N) \vee \psi(L)$  if and only if  $((N + L)_z : M) = ((N : M) + (L : M))_z$ .  $\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. It is easy to see that the set  $\mathcal{R}({}_R M)$  consisting of radical submodules of  $M$  is a lattice with the operations  $N \vee L = \text{rad}(N + L)$  and  $N \wedge L = N \cap L$  for all radical submodules  $N$  and  $L$  of  $M$ . As shown in [15, Theorem 2.11], if  $M$  is a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module, then  $\sigma : \mathcal{R}({}_R R) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}({}_R M)$  given by  $\sigma(N) = (N : M)$  is a lattice homomorphism. Also, as stated in [10, page 5],  $\kappa : \mathcal{R}({}_R R) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$  defined by  $\kappa(I) = I_z$  is a lattice homomorphism. Considering these lattice homomorphisms, we have the following result:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $M$  is a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module. Then the assignment  $N \mapsto N_z$  is a lattice epimorphism from  $\mathcal{R}({}_R M)$  to  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$ .*

**Proof.** Considering the composition  $\mathcal{R}({}_R M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{R}({}_R R) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{Z}({}_R R) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{Z}({}_R M)$  of lattice homomorphisms  $\phi$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$ , and by using Theorem 2.6, we get that

$$(\phi\kappa\sigma)(N) = \phi\kappa((N : M)) = \phi((N : M)_z) = ((N : M)_z M)_z = ((N : M)M)_z = N_z,$$

which indicates the rule of  $\phi\kappa\sigma$ . Moreover, by Proposition 2.4, the lattice homomorphism  $\phi\kappa\sigma$  is surjective.  $\square$

Note that  $\psi$  is not generally a lattice homomorphism, as the following example shows.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $V$  be a vector space with a dimension greater than one over a field  $F$ , and  $N$  and  $L$  be two proper subspaces of  $V$  such that  $V = N \oplus L$ . Then  $((N + L)_z : V) = (V : V) = F$ , while  $((N : M) + (L : M))_z = ((0))_z = (0)$ . Thus by Lemma 3.1,  $\psi : \mathcal{Z}({}_R M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$  is not a lattice homomorphism.

It will be convenient for us to call an  $R$ -module  $M$  a  $\psi$ -module if the mapping  $\psi$ , given in Lemma 3.1, is a homomorphism.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $R = C(X)$  and  $M$  a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is a  $\psi$ -module. In particular, every cyclic module is a  $\psi$ -module.*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  and  $L$  be submodules of  $M$ . Now by Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((N : M) + (L : M))_z &= ((N : M) + (0 : M/L))_z \\ &= ((N : M)(M/L) : M/L)_z \\ &= (((N : M)M + L)/L : M/L)_z \\ &= ((N : M)M + L : M)_z \\ &= (N + L : M)_z \\ &= ((N + L)_z : M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 3.1,  $M$  is a  $\psi$ -module. The first part obtains the ‘‘in particular’’ part.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $R = C(X)$  and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If every finitely generated submodule of  $M$  is a  $\psi$ -module, then  $R = (Rx : Ry) + (Ry : Rx)$  for all elements  $x, y \in M$ . If, in addition, every submodule of  $M$  is multiplication, then the converse holds.*

**Proof.** For the first part, let  $x, y \in M$ . Since  $Rx + Ry$  is a  $\psi$ -module, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= ((Rx + Ry)_z : Rx + Ry) \\ &= ((Rx : Rx + Ry) + (Ry : Rx + Ry))_z \\ &= ((Rx : Rx) \cap (Rx : Ry) + (Ry : Rx) \cap (Ry : Ry))_z \\ &= ((Rx : Ry) + (Ry : Rx))_z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $R = (Rx : Ry) + (Ry : Rx)$ . For the converse,  $M$  is a  $\psi$ -module by Theorem 3.4 and [23, Corollary 3.9].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be as before. Then, the following hold.*

- (1)  $\psi\phi\psi = \psi$ .
- (2)  $\phi\psi\phi = \phi$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $N$  be a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ . Then

$$\psi\phi\psi(N) = \psi\phi((N : M)) = \psi(((N : M)M)_z) = (((N : M)M)_z : M).$$

Now since  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $M$ , we have  $((N : M)M)_z \subseteq N$ , and so  $(((N : M)M)_z : M) \subseteq (N : M)$ . Moreover,  $(N : M) \subseteq ((N : M)M : M) \subseteq (((N : M)M)_z : M)$ . Therefore  $(N : M) = ((N : M)M)_z : M = \psi(N)$  which shows that  $\psi\phi\psi(N) = \psi(N)$ .

(2) Let  $I$  be a  $z$ -ideal of  $R$ . Then

$$\phi\psi\phi(I) = \phi\psi((IM)_z) = \phi(((IM)_z : M)) = (((IM)_z : M)M)_z.$$

Now,  $((IM)_z : M)M \subseteq (IM)_z$ , implies that  $((((IM)_z : M)M)_z \subseteq ((IM)_z)_z = (IM)_z$ . Also,  $IM \subseteq (IM)_z$  implies that  $I \subseteq ((IM)_z : M)$  which gives  $(IM)_z \subseteq (((IM)_z : M)M)_z$ . Thus  $((((IM)_z : M)M)_z = (IM)_z = \phi(I)$ , and hence  $\phi\psi\phi = \phi$ .  $\square$

The next two results are obtained immediately.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\phi$  is a surjection.
- (2)  $\phi\psi = 1$ .
- (3)  $N = ((N : M)M)_z$  for every  $z$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$ .
- (4)  $\psi$  is an injection.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\phi$  is an injection.
- (2)  $\psi\phi = 1$ .
- (3)  $I = ((IM)_z : M)$  for every  $z$ -ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .
- (4)  $\psi$  is a surjection.

**Corollary 3.9.** *If  $\phi$  is an injection, then  $((0) : M)_z = ((0)_z : M)$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 3.8(3) and Theorem 2.6, we have

$$((0) : M)_z = (((0) : M)_z M)_z : M = (((0) : M)M)_z : M = ((0)_z : M). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the mapping  $\phi$  is a bijection if and only if  $\psi$  is a bijection. In particular, if  $\phi$  is a bijection, then  $\phi$  is a lattice isomorphism and  $\psi$  is its inverse.*

**Proof.** The first part follows from Corollary 3.7 and Corollary 3.8. These and Lemma 3.1 conclude the “in particular” part.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $R = C(X)$  and  $M$  be a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $R$ -module. Then,  $\phi$  is a lattice isomorphism.*

**Proof.** Firstly by Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.5, we have  $((IM)_z : M) = (IM : M)_z = I_z = I$  for all  $z$ -ideals  $I$  of  $R$  which implies that  $\phi$  is an injection by Corollary 3.8. On the other hand, since  $M$  is multiplication, we have  $((N : M)M)_z = N_z = N$  for every  $z$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$  which shows that  $\phi$  is a surjection by Corollary 3.7. Thus, the assertion holds by Corollary 3.10.  $\square$

#### 4. A finitely generated multiplication module over $C(X)$

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ . An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $\mathfrak{m}$ -cyclic provided there exist  $x \in M$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $(1 - a)M \subseteq Rx$ . By [7, Theorem 1.2], every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -cyclic module is a multiplication module. Assume that  $Y$  is a subset of a topological space  $X$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  consisting of all functions from  $Y$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $C(X)$ -module with the usual multiplication of functions as the scalar multiplication. If  $Y$  is a finite subset of a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_x := \{f \in C(X) \mid f(x) = 0\}$  for each fixed point  $x \in X$ , we show that the  $C(X)$ -module  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  (consisting of all functions from  $Y$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ -cyclic (see [4, Exercise 26, p. 14] for that  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is a maximal ideal of  $C(X)$ ). In particular, we have the following result:

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $Y$  is a finite subset of a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a multiplication  $C(X)$ -module.*

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is Hausdorff, the finite subset  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ , and the subspace topology of  $Y$  is discrete. Therefore  $C(Y) = \mathbb{R}^Y$ . Now if  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_x$  and  $g \in \mathbb{R}^Y$ , then  $(1 - f)g = (1 - f)|_Y \tilde{g}$ , where  $(1 - f)|_Y$  denotes the restriction of  $(1 - f)$  to  $Y$  and  $\tilde{g}$  is the Tietze extension of  $g$  [19, Theorem 3.2]. It implies that  $(1 - f)\mathbb{R}^Y \subseteq C(X)(1 - f)|_Y$ , as required. Thus  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ -cyclic  $C(X)$ -module, and so by [7, Theorem 1.2],  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a multiplication  $C(X)$ -module.  $\square$

Recall that any completely regular space  $X$  is said to be a  $P$ -space if every prime ideal of  $C(X)$  is a maximal ideal. If  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff  $P$ -space, then by [8, 4J] and [13, Theorem 1.2],  $C(X)$  is a regular ring. This fact is used in the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $Y$  is a finite subset of a compact Hausdorff  $P$ -space  $X$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a flat  $C(X)$ -module.*

**Proof.** First, we consider the mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^Y \rightarrow \prod_{x \in Y} C(X)/\mathfrak{m}_x$  defined by  $\phi(g) = (C_{g(x)} + \mathfrak{m}_x)_{x \in Y}$ , where  $C_{g(x)}$  is the constant function which maps the whole of  $X$  to  $g(x)$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  is a  $C(X)$ -module homomorphism and its inverse is the mapping  $\psi : \prod_{x \in Y} C(X)/\mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^Y$  defined by  $\psi((f_x + \mathfrak{m}_x)_{x \in Y})(y) = f_y(y)$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  is a  $C(X)$ -module isomorphism. Now, since  $C(X)$  is regular and  $C(X)/\mathfrak{m}_x$  is a simple  $C(X)$ -module, we conclude that  $C(X)/\mathfrak{m}_x$  is an injective  $C(X)$ -module by [26, Theorem 2]. But by [22, Proposition 1.4], the injectivity of  $C(X)/\mathfrak{m}_x$  is equivalent to its flatness. Consequently,  $\prod_{x \in Y} C(X)/\mathfrak{m}_x$  is a flat  $C(X)$ -module and so is  $\mathbb{R}^Y$ .  $\square$

It is clear that for any non-empty finite subset  $Y$  of a compact Hausdorff  $P$ -space  $X$  and for any  $x \in X$ , the submodule  $\mathfrak{m}_x \mathbb{R}^Y$  of the  $C(X)$ -module  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  does not contain the non-zero constant functions from  $Y$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and so  $(\mathfrak{m}_x \mathbb{R}^Y : \mathbb{R}^Y) = \mathfrak{m}_x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Now, by Theorem 4.1,  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a multiplication  $C(X)$ -module, and so the flatness of the  $C(X)$ -module  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  (Theorem 4.2) implies that  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a finitely generated  $C(X)$ -module by [12, Propositions 2.4 and 3.8]. Thus, we have the following without further proof.

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $Y$  is a finite subset of a compact Hausdorff  $P$ -space  $X$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a finitely generated faithful multiplication  $C(X)$ -module.*

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff  $P$ -space and  $Y$  be a finite subset of  $X$ . Then every submodule of the  $C(X)$ -module  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  is a  $z$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^Y$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^Y$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $N = IM$  for some ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ . But, since  $X$  is a  $P$ -space,  $I$  is a  $z$ -ideal of  $C(X)$  by [8, 4J], and so by [3, page 1],  $I$  is a  $sz$ -ideal of  $C(X)$ . Hence  $N$  is a  $z$ -submodule by Theorem 2.7(1) and Corollary 4.3.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are deeply grateful to the referee for a careful reading of the article and useful suggestions.

**Disclosure statement.** The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

## References

- [1] A. R. Aliabad, M. Badie and S. Nazari, *An extension of  $z$ -ideals and  $z^0$ -ideals*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 49(1) (2020), 254-272.
- [2] A. R. Aliabad and R. Mohamadian, *On  $z$ -ideals and  $z^0$ -ideals of power series rings*, J. Math. Ext., 7(2) (2013), 93-108.

- [3] A. R. Aliabad and R. Mohamadian, *Prime  $z$ -ideal rings ( $pz$ -Rings)*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 48(3) (2022), 1177-1192.
- [4] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1969.
- [5] A. Benhissi and A. Maatallah, *A question about higher order  $z$ -ideals in commutative rings*, Quaest. Math., 43(8) (2020), 1155-1157.
- [6] T. Dube and O. Ighedo, *On lattices of  $z$ -ideals of function rings*, Math. Slovaca, 68(2) (2018), 271-284.
- [7] Z. A. El-Bast and F. P. Smith, *Multiplication Modules*, Comm. Algebra, 16(4) (1988), 755-779.
- [8] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Univ. Ser. Higher Math., D. Van Nostrand Co., New York, 1960.
- [9] J. B. Harrehdashti and H. F. Moghimi, *Complete homomorphisms between the lattices of radical submodules*, Math. Rep., 20(70)(2) (2018), 187-200.
- [10] O. Ighedo and W. Wm. McGovern, *On the lattice of  $z$ -ideals of a commutative ring*, Topology Appl., 273 (2020), 106969 (16 pp).
- [11] C. W. Kohls, *Ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Fund. Math., 45 (1957), 28-50.
- [12] C. P. Lu, *A module whose prime spectrum has the surjective natural map*, Houston J. Math., 33(1) (2007), 125-143.
- [13] G. Mason,  *$z$ -ideals and prime ideals*, J. Algebra, 26 (1973), 280-297.
- [14] G. Mason, *Prime  $z$ -ideals of  $C(X)$  and related rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., 23(4) (1980), 437-443.
- [15] H. F. Moghimi and J. B. Harehdashti, *Mappings between lattices of radical submodules*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 19 (2016), 35-48.
- [16] H. F. Moghimi and M. Noferesti, *Mappings between the lattices of varieties of submodules*, J. Algebra Relat. Topics, 10(1) (2022), 35-50.
- [17] H. F. Moghimi and M. Noferesti, *On the distributivity of the lattice of radical submodules*, J. Mahani Math. Res., 13(1) (2024), 347-355.
- [18] M. E. Moore and S. J. Smith, *Prime and radical submodules of modules over commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, 30(10) (2002), 5037-5064.
- [19] J. R. Munkres, *Topology: A First Course*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1975.
- [20] M. Noferesti, H. F. Moghimi and M. H. Hosseini, *Mappings between the lattices of saturated submodules with respect to a prime ideal*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 50(1) (2021), 243-254.

- [21] J. Ohm and D. E. Rush, *Content modules and algebras*, Math. Scand., 31 (1972), 49-68.
- [22] V. S. Ramamurthi, *On the injectivity and flatness of certain cyclic modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 48 (1975), 21-25.
- [23] P. F. Smith, *Mapping between module lattices*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 15 (2014), 173-195.
- [24] P. F. Smith, *Complete homomorphisms between module lattices*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 16 (2014), 16-31.
- [25] P. F. Smith, *Anti-homomorphisms between module lattices*, J. Commut. Algebra, 7(4) (2015), 567-592.
- [26] E. M. Vechtomov, *Modules of all functions over the ring of continuous functions*, Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 28 (1980), 701-705.

**Seyede Fatemeh Mohebian and Hosein Fazaeli Moghimi** (Corresponding Author)

Department of Mathematics

University of Birjand

Birjand, Iran

e-mails: seyede-fatemehmohebian@birjand.ac.ir (S. F. Mohebian)

hfazaeli@birjand.ac.ir (H. F. Moghimi)