

## ON THE FINITENESS PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

Fatemeh Dehghani-Zadeh

Received: 29 October 2010; Revised: 8 July 2011

Communicated by A. Çiğdem Özcan

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study certain properties of generalized local cohomology modules with respect to a Serre class. We have proved that the membership of the generalized local cohomology of finite modules  $M$  and  $N$  in a Serre subcategory in the upper range (lower rang) depends on the support of module  $M$  ( $N$ ).

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 13D45, 13D07

**Keywords:** generalized local cohomology, finiteness, Serre subcategories

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a commutative Noetherian local ring. For unexplained terminology from homological and commutative algebra we refer to [8] and [7]. Generalized local cohomology was given in the local case by J. Herzog [9] and in the more general case by Bijan-Zadeh [5]. Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring with identity,  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal of  $R$  and let  $M, N$  be two  $R$ -modules. For an integer  $i \geq 0$ , the  $i$ -th generalized local cohomology module  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, N)$  with  $M = R$ , we obtain the ordinary local cohomology module  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$  of  $N$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}$  which was introduced by Grothendieck. We recall some properties of generalized local cohomology modules which we need in this note. For any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $R$  and two  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  the following statements hold:

- (i) If  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then there are long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N'') \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N'') \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(N'', M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(N, M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(N', M) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N'') \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

of generalized local cohomology modules.

- (ii) If  $N$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion  $R$ -module, then there is an isomorphism  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Recall that a class  $S$  of  $R$ -modules is a *Serre subcategory* of the category of  $R$ -modules, when it is closed under taking submodules, quotients and extensions. In this paper, we study some properties of generalized local cohomology modules by using Serre classes. In [1], the authors have discussed the connection between  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$  and the Serre classes of  $R$ -modules.

Using the generalized local cohomology modules, we can define  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$  (*resp.*  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ ) of a pair  $(M, N)$  of  $R$ -modules relative to the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\mathfrak{a}}^S(M, N) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not in } S\} \\ (\text{resp. } t_S^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not in } S\}) \end{aligned}$$

with the usual convention that the infimum (*resp.* supremum) of the empty set of integers is interpreted as  $+\infty$  (*resp.*  $-\infty$ ). We denote  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  (*resp.*  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ ). We study the behavior of  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$  and  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$  under changing one of the  $M$  and  $N$ , when we fixed the one others.

This paper recovers some results regarding the local cohomology  $R$ -modules that have appeared in different papers.

## 2. Study of $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N$  be in  $S$  and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the  $R$ -modules  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$  are in  $S$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\dots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be a minimal free resolution of  $M$ . Then  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$  is an  $R$ -subquotient of  $F_i \otimes N \cong N^{\text{rk}(F_i)}$  and hence is in  $S$ , where  $\text{rk}(F)$  means the rank of a free module  $F$ . The similar argument shows that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$  is in  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $N$  a finite  $R$ -module. Suppose that  $L$  is an  $R$ -module in  $S$ . If  $M$  is a finite  $R$ -module with  $\text{Supp}M \subseteq \text{Supp}N$ , then  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, L)$ , where the support of  $T$  is denoted by  $\text{Supp}T$  for an  $R$ -module  $T$ . In particular if  $\text{Supp}N = \text{Supp}M$ , then  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, L) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)$*

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$  is in  $S$  for all  $i < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, L)$  and all finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\text{Supp}M \subseteq \text{Supp}N$ . To this end, we argue by induction on  $i$ . In view of hypothesis  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$  is in  $S$ . Therefore, since  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, L) \cong \text{Hom}(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(L))$ , we see, by Lemma 2.1, that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, L)$  is in  $S$ . Now, suppose, inductively, that  $i > 0$  and that the result has been proved for  $i - 1$ . By Gruson's theorem (see [12, 4.1]), there is a chain  $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_l = M$  of submodules of  $M$  such that each of the factor  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of finitely many copies of  $N$ . In view of the long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules that induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{j-1} \rightarrow M_j \rightarrow M_j/M_{j-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, l,$$

it suffices to treat with only the case  $l = 1$ . So we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $K$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. This induces the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(K, L) \rightarrow \cdots \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(K, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right). \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(K, L)$  is in  $S$ . Also,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right)$  is in  $S$ , because  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N, L)$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N, L)$  is in  $S$ , so that, in view of the above exact sequence, the  $R$ -module  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$  is in  $S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** (i) *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module,  $N$  an  $R$ -module and  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$ . Then*

- (1)  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$
- (2)  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$

(ii) *Let  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$  be a regular element on  $N$ . Then*

- (1)  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) - 1$
- (2)  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$  is in  $S$ . Now, the claim is clear by the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(ii) It is clear by the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \cdots \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $L, M$  and  $N$  finitely generated  $R$ -modules.*

- (i) *If  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, then for any  $R$ -module  $C$ , we have  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, C) = \inf\{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(L, C), t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, C)\}$*
- (ii)  *$t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = \inf\{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(C, N) \mid C \text{ is finitely generated over } R\}$*
- (iii) *If  $r < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, N)$  for all  $P \in \text{Supp}M$ , then  $r < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ .*
- (iv)  *$t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) = \inf\{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, L) \mid P \in \text{Supp}M\}$*
- (v) *If  $l = \text{pd}(N) < \infty$ , then  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) - \text{pd}(N)$ .*

**Proof.** (i), (ii) are clear by definition.

(iii) There is a prime filtration  $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_t = M$  of submodules of  $M$ , such that  $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/P_i$  where  $P_i \in \text{Supp}M$ . We use induction on  $t$ . When  $t = 1$ ,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(R/P, N)$  is in  $S$ . Now suppose that  $t > 1$  and that the result has been proved for  $t - 1$ . The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_i/M_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$  induces the long exact sequence  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_t/M_{t-1}, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_t, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_{t-1}, N)$ . It follows that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_t, N)$  is in  $S$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

(iv) By using Theorem 2.2,  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, N) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = r$  for all  $P \in \text{Supp}M$  and so we assume that  $r = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, N)$ . Note that, in view of (iii)  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$  is in  $S$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

(v) We use induction on  $l = \text{pd}(N)$ . If  $l = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. Now, assume that  $l > 0$  and that the assertion holds for  $l - 1$ . We can construct exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated  $R$ -modules such that  $F$  is free and  $\text{pd}(T) = l - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, T) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) - l + 1$ . Let  $i < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) - l$ . Then, it follows from the exact sequence  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, F) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, T)$ , that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is in  $S$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

**Definition 2.5.** An  $R$ -module  $N$  is said to be *Weakly Laskerian* if the set of associated primes of any quotient module of  $N$  is finite.

**Remark 2.6.** *If  $N$  is weakly Laskerian, then  $\text{Ass}N$  is finite. This holds, by employing a method of proof which is similar to that used in [7, 2.1.1],  $N$  is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion-free if and only if  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains a non-zero-divisor on  $N$ .*

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $S$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $M$  a finite  $R$ -module. Suppose that  $N$  a weakly Laskerian  $R$ -module of dimension  $n$ . If  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$ , then the module  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)}(M, N))$  is in  $S$ . Furthermore, if  $L$  is a finite  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Supp}L \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ , where  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  is the set of prime ideals of  $R$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then  $\text{Hom}(L, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)}(M, N))$  is in  $S$ .*

**Proof.** Set  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = t$  and we use induction on  $\dim(N) = n$ . If  $n = 0$ , then  $N = \Gamma_m(N)$  and hence  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$  for all  $i$ . Therefore, since  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$ , the  $R$ -module  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  is in  $S$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1, that  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N))$  is in  $S$ . So suppose that  $n > 0$  and that the result has been proved for smaller values of  $n$ . Since,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$  for all  $i$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1, that  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N))$  is in  $S$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)))$  is in  $S$ . Thus we may assume that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = 0$ . Then there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $x$  is an  $N$ -sequence. The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow 0$  implies the following long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\theta} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N/xN) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN). \end{aligned}$$

Using this exact sequence by the induction hypothesis and Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, it follows that the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N/xN))$  is in  $S$ . Note that, by Lemma 2.1,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\theta)$  is in  $S$ . Now, using the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\theta) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N/xN)) \\ \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\theta), \end{aligned}$$

we get  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi) = \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, (0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)} x)) = \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N))$  is in  $S$ . The last part follows from Gruson's Theorem and the similar argument in Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $S$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $N$  a weakly Laskerian  $R$ -module of finite krull dimension, such that  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is in  $S$  for all  $i < t$ . Let  $X$  be a submodule of  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$  such that  $X$  is in  $S$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X)$  is in  $S$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a submodule of  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$  such that  $X$  is in  $S$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)) \rightarrow \\ \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N))$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$  are in  $S$  by Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.1, we have  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X)$  is in  $S$ .  $\square$

The previous theorem recovers the [4, 3, 6, 10].

**Example 2.9.** Let  $r = f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, N) = 0$  and  $S$  be class of Artinian  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(N))$  is not Artinian (cf. [11]). This example shows that if we delete the assumption  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$ , then it may happen that  $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X)$  is not in  $S$ .

**Remark 2.10.** The following classes of modules are Serre subcategories and they are true in above theorems.

- (1) The class of zero modules and  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann}(M), N)$ .
- (2) The class of Artinian modules and  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann}(M), N)$ .
- (3) The class of Noetherian modules and  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ , where  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ , the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -finiteness dimension of a pair  $(M, N)$  of  $R$ -modules relative to the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ , is the least non-negative integer  $i$  such that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is not finitely generated.
- (4) The class of  $R$ -modules with finite support and  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = g\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann}(M), N)$ .

### 3. Study of $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$

**Notation.** The cohomological dimension  $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$  of  $M$  and  $N$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}$  is defined as  $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{i \geq 0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \neq 0\}$ . Note that if  $pd_R(M)$  is finite, then, by easy induction, we can show that  $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) < \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $S$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $N$  a finite  $R$ -module and  $M$  a finite  $R$ -module with  $pd(M) < \infty$ , where we denote by  $pd(T)$  the projective dimension over  $R$  of  $T$  for an  $R$ -module  $T$ . If  $L$  is a finite  $R$ -module with  $\text{Supp}L \subseteq \text{Supp}N$ , then  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ . In particular, if  $\text{Supp}L = \text{Supp}N$ , then  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$  belongs to  $S$  for all finite  $R$ -module  $L$  with  $\text{Supp}L \subseteq \text{Supp}N$  and for all  $i > t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ . Since  $pd(M) < \infty$ , so  $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)$  is finite, we have  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L) = 0$  is in  $S$  for all  $i > cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)$ . We now argue by descending induction on  $i$ . Now, assume that  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) < i$  and that the claim holds for  $i + 1$ . By Gruson's Theorem (see [12, 4.1]), there is a chain  $0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_l = L$  of submodules of  $L$  such that each of the factor  $L_i/L_{i-1}$  is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of finitely many copies of  $N$ . In view of the long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules that induced by short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L_{i-1} \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow L_i/L_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , it suffices to treat with only the case  $l = 1$ . So, we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ ,

where  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $K$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. This induces the long exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, K) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \bigoplus_{i=1}^t N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, K).$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, K)$  belongs to  $S$ . Also,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \bigoplus_{i=1}^t(N)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  belongs to  $S$ , because  $i > t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ . Therefore, by the above exact sequence  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$  belongs to  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $L, C$  and  $N$  finitely generated  $R$ -modules.*

- (i) *If  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, then for any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, C), t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)\}$ .*
- (ii)  *$t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) = \sup\{t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, C) \mid C \text{ is finitely generated over } R\}$ .*
- (iii) *Let  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, R/P)$  be in  $S$  for all  $P \in \text{Supp}N$ . Then  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$  is in  $S$ .*
- (iv) *If  $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$ , then  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/P) \mid P \in \text{Supp}N\}$ .*
- (v) *If  $l = \text{pd}(M) < \infty$ , then  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) - l \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) and (ii) are clear by definition.

(iii) There is a prime filtration  $0 = N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_t = N$  of submodules of  $N$ , such that  $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong R/P_i$  where  $P_i \in \text{Supp}N$ . We use induction on  $t$ . When  $t = 1$ ,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, R/P) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$  is in  $S$ , where we put  $P = P_i$ . Now suppose that  $t > 1$  and that the result has been proved for  $t - 1$ . The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N_{t-1} \rightarrow N_t \rightarrow N_t/N_{t-1} \rightarrow 0$  induces the long exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N_{t-1}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N_t) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, R/P_t).$$

It follows that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N_t)$  is in  $S$ . This completes the proof.

(iv) By using Theorem 3.1  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/P) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = r$  for all  $P \in \text{Supp}N$  and so we assume that  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/P) < t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = r$ . By using (iii),  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$  is in  $S$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

(v) We use induction on  $l$ . If  $l = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. We can construct an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated  $R$ -modules such that  $F$  is free and  $\text{pd}(M') = l - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M', N) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) + l - 1$ . Let  $i > t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) + l$ . Then, it follows from the exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M', N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(F, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M', N)$$

that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is in  $S$ . Hence  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) + l = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(N) + l$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $S$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $N$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $M$  an  $R$ -module,  $t = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ . Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i)  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) > \text{pd}(M)$ .

*Then the  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$  belongs to  $S$ .*

**Proof.** We prove by induction on  $\dim N = n$ . If  $n = 0$ , then  $N$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -torsion, and hence  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion module. Therefore  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = \text{Ext}_R^t(M, N)$  is in  $S$  by Lemma 2.1. Thus the claim holds for  $n = 0$ . Now, suppose, inductively, that  $n > 0$  and the result has been proved for all finitely generated  $R$ -module of dimension smaller than  $n$ . Since  $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$ , view of the long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules that is induced by the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \rightarrow 0$ , we may assume that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = 0$ . Then there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $x$  is an  $N$ -sequence. The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow 0$  implies the following long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M, N/xN) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN).$$

It yields that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN)$  belongs to  $S$  for all  $i > t$ . By using Lemma 2.3,  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ . If  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) < t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ , then  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)$  belongs to  $S$ . If  $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ , thus  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)$  belongs to  $S$  by induction hypothesis. Now, consider the exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{\theta} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M, N),$$

which induces the following two exact sequences

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow \text{im}\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{im}\theta \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \text{im}\varphi \rightarrow 0,$$

where we denote by  $\text{im}\psi$  the image of a map  $\psi$ . Therefore, we can obtain the following two exact sequences:

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow \text{im}\theta/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\theta \rightarrow 0, \quad (**)$$

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi) \rightarrow \text{im}\theta/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\theta \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \text{im}\varphi/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\varphi \rightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

Since  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ , from  $(**)$  exact sequence, we deduce that,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \cong \text{im}\theta/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\theta$ . Now,  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi)$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)$  belong in

$S$  by Lemma 2.1. The claim follows by (\*). In addition, in view of (ii) the assertion follows by repeating the above argument.  $\square$

The previous theorem recovers the [2, 3.1].

**Example 3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a commutative local ring  $t = cd_{\mathfrak{m}}(N) = 0$ ,  $N \neq 0$  and  $S$  be class of zero modules. Then  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(N)/\mathfrak{m}H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(N) = N/\mathfrak{m}N \neq 0$ . This example shows that if we delete the assumption  $t^{\alpha}(M, N) > pd(M)$  and  $t_{\alpha}(N) > 0$ , then it may happen that  $H_{\alpha}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\alpha}^t(M, N)$  is not  $S$ .*

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and many helpful suggestions on the paper.

### References

- [1] M. Asgharzadeh and M. Tousi, *A unified approach to local cohomology modules using Serre classes*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 53 (2010), 577-586.
- [2] M. Asgharzadeh, K. Divaani-Azar and M. Tousi, *The finiteness dimension of local cohomology modules and its dual notion*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.*, 213(3) (2009), 321-328.
- [3] J. Azami, R. Naghipour and B. Vakili, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for  $\alpha$ -minimax modules*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137 (2009), 439-448.
- [4] K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, *On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136 (2008), 2359-2363.
- [5] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, *A common generalization of local cohomology theories*, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 21(2) (1980), 173-181.
- [6] M. P. Brodmann and F. A. Lashgari, *A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128 (10) (2000), 2851-2853.
- [7] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology; An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [8] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Ring*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 39, Cambridge, 1998.
- [9] J. Herzog, *Komplex Auflösungen and Dualität in der lokalen algebra*, Preprint, Universität Regensburg, 1974.
- [10] K. Lorestani, P. Sahandi and S. Yassemi, *Artinian Local cohomology modules*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(4) (2007), 598-602.
- [11] R. Lü and Z. Tang, *The  $f$ -depth of an ideal on a module*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130 (7) (2001), 1905-1912.

- [12] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor Theory in Module Categories*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 14, Elsevier, 1974.

**Fatemeh Deghani-Zadeh**

Department of Mathematics

Islamic Azad University

Yazd Branch, Yazd, Iran

e-mails: f.deghanizadeh@yahoo.com

fdzadeh@gmail.com