

## ON ALMOST SUBNORMAL SUBGROUPS AND MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS IN SKEW LINEAR GROUPS

Truong Huu Dung

Received: 19 January 2018; Revised: 24 May 2018; Accepted: 24 June 2018

Communicated by A. Çiğdem Özcan

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study almost subnormal subgroups and maximal subgroups in skew linear groups satisfying a generalized Laurent polynomial identity.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 16K20, 16R40

**Keywords:** Division ring, linear group, almost subnormal subgroup, maximal subgroup, Laurent polynomial identity

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ . Recently, some skew linear groups satisfying an identity was investigated [4,10,11,12,13]. For example, in [4] it was shown that every subnormal subgroup  $N$  of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  satisfying a generalized group identity over  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  is central, i.e.  $N \subseteq F$ , provided  $F$  is infinite. Later, in [12] this result was extended for almost subnormal subgroups of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$ . Additionally, L. Makar-Limanov proved that if  $D$  is infinite dimensional over its infinite center  $F$ , then any subnormal subgroup of  $D^*$  satisfying a generalized Laurent polynomial identity over  $D$  is central [11].

Our first aim in this paper is to generalize the above results for almost subnormal subgroups of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  satisfying a generalized Laurent polynomial identity in the case when  $D$  is algebraic over its uncountable center  $F$  and  $[D : F] = \infty$ . In fact, we prove that if  $N$  is an almost subnormal subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  satisfying a generalized Laurent polynomial identity, then  $N$  is central (Theorem 2.5). Secondly, we focus on maximal subgroups of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  satisfying a Laurent polynomial identity by proving that if  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  such that  $D$  is infinite dimensional over its infinite center  $F$  and  $F[M]$  is algebraic over  $F$ , then  $M$  is absolutely irreducible (Theorem 2.6). This result generalizes partially [10, Theorem 4.1]. In the case when  $n = 1$ , we investigate maximal subgroups of an almost subnormal subgroup of  $D^*$ . In [13], maximal subgroups of subnormal subgroups of  $D^*$  was studied and it was shown that every nilpotent maximal subgroup of a subnormal subgroup of

$D^*$  is abelian [13, Theorem 2.3]. We extend this result for any maximal subgroup  $M$  of a non-central almost subnormal subgroup of  $D^*$  in the case when  $D$  is infinite dimensional over its infinite center  $F$  and  $C_D(M) \setminus F$  contains an algebraic element over  $F$ . Namely, we show that if  $M$  satisfies a Laurent polynomial identity, then  $M$  is abelian (Theorem 2.10).

Now, we recall some notation we use in this paper. Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$  and  $G$  be the free group generated by  $m$  non-commuting indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ . Denote by  $M_n(D) *_F FG$  the free product of the matrix ring  $M_n(D)$  and the group algebra  $FG$  over  $F$ . An element  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in M_n(D) *_F FG$  is called a *generalized Laurent polynomial* over  $M_n(D)$  (see [5] for the definition of generalized Laurent polynomials over an arbitrary algebra). In particular, if  $f \in FG$ , then  $f$  is called a *Laurent polynomial* over  $F$ .

Assume that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  is non-zero and  $N$  is a subset of the general skew linear group  $GL_n(D)$ . If  $f(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) = 0$  for every  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) \in N^m$ , then we say that  $N$  satisfies the *generalized Laurent polynomial identity* (briefly, GLPI)  $f = 0$ . In this case,  $f = 0$  is called a *generalized Laurent polynomial identity* of  $N$ . Additionally, if  $f$  is a Laurent polynomial, then we simply say that  $f = 0$  is a *Laurent polynomial identity* of  $N$  or  $N$  satisfies the *Laurent polynomial identity* (shortly, LPI)  $f = 0$ .

Let  $K$  be a group. Following Hartley [8],  $H$  is an *almost subnormal subgroup* of  $K$  if there is a family of subgroups  $H = H_r \leq H_{r-1} \leq \dots \leq H_1 = K$  of  $K$  such that for each  $1 < i \leq r$ , either  $H_i$  is normal in  $H_{i-1}$  or  $H_i$  has finite index in  $H_{i-1}$ . We call such a series of subgroups an *almost normal series* of  $H$  in  $K$ . It was noted in [12] that there is a division ring whose multiplicative group contains some almost subnormal subgroup that is not subnormal.

## 2. Results

Let us denote by  $M_n(D)[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m]$  the polynomial ring in the determinates  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  over  $M_n(D)$ . The following lemma can be obtained by applying the Vandermonde argument [14, Proposition 2.3.26 and 2.3.27].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in M_n(D)[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m]$ . If there exist infinitely many elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  in the center  $F$  of  $D$  such that  $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0$ , then  $f$  is identically zero.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with infinite center  $F$  and  $M_n(D)$  be the matrix ring over  $D$ . If  $a \in M_n(D)$  is algebraic over  $F$ , then there exist infinitely many elements  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $1 + \alpha a \in GL_n(D)$  and*

$$(1 + \alpha a)^{-1} = -\frac{(1 + \alpha a)^{k-1} + v_{k-1}(\alpha)(1 + \alpha a)^{k-2} + \cdots + v_1(\alpha)}{v_0(\alpha)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $v_i(t) \in F[t]$ .

**Proof.** Since  $a \in M_n(D)$  is algebraic over  $F$ , there exists a polynomial

$$u(x) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \cdots + b_1x + b_0 \in F[x]$$

such that  $u(a) = 0$ . Let  $t$  be a central indeterminate. Put  $h_t(x) = u\left(\frac{x-1}{t}\right)$ .

Then,  $h_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^k}(x-1)^k + \frac{b_{k-1}}{t^{k-1}}(x-1)^{k-1} + \cdots + \frac{b_1}{t}(x-1) + b_0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t^k h_t(x) &= (x-1)^k + tb_{k-1}(x-1)^{k-1} + \cdots + t^{k-1}b_1(x-1) + t^k b_0 \\ &= x^k + v_{k-1}(t)x^{k-1} + \cdots + v_1(t)x + v_0(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_i(t) \in F[t]$ . It is clear that  $h_t(1+ta) = 0$ , so

$$(1+ta)^k + v_{k-1}(t)(1+ta)^{k-1} + \cdots + v_1(t)(1+ta) + v_0(t) = 0.$$

Since  $v_0(t)$  has finitely many roots in  $F$ , there exist infinitely many elements  $\alpha \in F$  such that

$$(1 + \alpha a)^{-1} = -\frac{(1 + \alpha a)^{k-1} + v_{k-1}(\alpha)(1 + \alpha a)^{k-2} + \cdots + v_1(\alpha)}{v_0(\alpha)}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $F\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$  be the free algebra in  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  over  $F$  and

$$M_n(D)\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle = M_n(D) *_F F\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$$

be the free product of  $M_n(D)$  and  $F\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$  over  $F$ . Denote by

$$M_n(D)\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m]]$$

the ring of formal power series in the indeterminates  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  with coefficients in  $M_n(D)\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  is a non-zero element in  $M_n(D) *_F FG$ , then*

$$f(1 + t_1 y_1, 1 + t_2 y_2, \dots, 1 + t_m y_m)$$

*is a non-zero element in  $M_n(D)\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m]]$ .*

**Proof.** If

$$f(1 + t_1 y_1, 1 + t_2 y_2, \dots, 1 + t_m y_m) \equiv 0$$

in  $M_n(D)\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m]]$ , then

$$f\left(1 + t_1 \frac{x_1 - 1}{t_1}, 1 + t_2 \frac{x_2 - 1}{t_2}, \dots, 1 + t_m \frac{x_m - 1}{t_m}\right) = 0.$$

This means that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0$ , a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Recall that a *generalized polynomial identity* is a generalized Laurent polynomial identity in which all powers of indeterminates are non-negative.

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $M_n(D)$  satisfies a generalized polynomial identity, then  $D$  is centrally finite, i.e.  $D$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $F$ .*

**Proof.** This lemma is followed from [2, Theorem 6.1.9].  $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this work.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $D$  be an algebraic division ring with uncountable center  $F$  and  $[D : F] = \infty$ . If  $N$  is an almost subnormal subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$  satisfying a GLPI  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0$ , then  $N$  is central.*

**Proof.** We first claim that if  $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$  satisfies a GLPI  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0$  then  $D$  is centrally finite. In fact, by Lemma 2.3,

$$g(1 + t_1 y_1, 1 + t_2 y_2, \dots, 1 + t_m y_m) \neq 0.$$

Moreover,

$$g(1 + t_1 y_1, 1 + t_2 y_2, \dots, 1 + t_m y_m) = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \geq 0} t_1^{j_1} t_2^{j_2} \cdots t_m^{j_m} p_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m),$$

where  $p_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m}$  are generalized polynomials over  $M_n(D)$  and

$$p_{00\dots 0} = g(1, 1, \dots, 1) = 0.$$

Thus, there exist  $j_1^*, j_2^*, \dots, j_m^* > 0$  such that  $p_{j_1^* j_2^* \dots j_m^*}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \neq 0$ . Now, since  $D$  is algebraic over its uncountable center  $F$ , by [1, Theorem 2.10],  $M_n(D)$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  be arbitrary elements in  $M_n(D)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $1 + \alpha_1 c_1, 1 + \alpha_2 c_2, \dots, 1 + \alpha_m c_m \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ , for infinitely many elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  in  $F$ . Hence

$$g(1 + \alpha_1 c_1, 1 + \alpha_2 c_2, \dots, 1 + \alpha_m c_m) = 0,$$

for infinitely many elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  in  $F$ . Due to Equation (1) in Lemma 2.2, we can write  $g(1 + \alpha_1 c_1, 1 + \alpha_2 c_2, \dots, 1 + \alpha_m c_m) = \frac{h(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)}{k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)}$ , where

$$h(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in M_n(D)[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m], k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in F[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m].$$

Since  $g(1 + \alpha_1 c_1, 1 + \alpha_2 c_2, \dots, 1 + \alpha_m c_m) = 0$ , for infinitely many elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  in  $F$ , it follows that  $h(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0$  for infinitely many elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  in  $F$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $h(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$  is identically zero, so is  $g(1 + t_1 c_1, 1 + t_2 c_2, \dots, 1 + t_m c_m)$ . Observe that

$$g(1 + t_1 c_1, 1 + t_2 c_2, \dots, 1 + t_m c_m) = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \geq 0} t_1^{j_1} t_2^{j_2} \dots t_m^{j_m} p_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m),$$

so  $p_{j_1^* j_2^* \dots j_m^*}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) = 0$ . Thus,  $p_{j_1^* j_2^* \dots j_m^*}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$  is a generalized polynomial identity of  $M_n(D)$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $D$  is centrally finite. The claim is proved.

Now suppose that  $N$  is non-central. We consider the following two cases.

**Case 1.** In the case when  $n \geq 2$ , by [12, Theorem 3.3],  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$ . Fix an element  $k \in N \setminus F$ , and put

$$g(y_1, \dots, y_m) = f(y_1 k y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_m k y_m^{-1}).$$

Then, since  $aka^{-1} \in N$  for any  $a \in \text{GL}_n(D)$ ,  $g = 0$  is a GLPI of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$ . Hence,  $D$  is centrally finite, a contradiction.

**Case 2.** In the case when  $n = 1$ , suppose  $N = N_r \leq N_{r-1} \leq \dots \leq N_1 = D^*$  is an almost normal series in  $D^*$ . We claim that if  $N$  satisfies a GLPI, then so does  $D^*$ . It suffices to prove that  $N_{r-1}$  satisfies a GLPI. In fact, if  $N_r$  is normal in  $N_{r-1}$ , then by the same argument in Case 1 we get the claim. If  $[N_{r-1} : N_r] = \ell < \infty$ , then  $a_1^{\ell}, a_2^{\ell}, \dots, a_m^{\ell} \in N_r$  for any  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in N_{r-1}$ . Hence,  $f(a_1^{\ell}, a_2^{\ell}, \dots, a_m^{\ell}) = 0$ . Thus  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = f(x_1^{\ell}, x_2^{\ell}, \dots, x_m^{\ell})$  is a GLPI of  $N_{r-1}$ . The claim is proved. Therefore,  $D$  is centrally finite, a contradiction.

Thus, the proof is now complete.  $\square$

Next, we prove some results for maximal subgroups in  $\text{GL}_n(D)$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with infinite center  $F$  and  $[D : F] = \infty$ . Assume that  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  such that  $F[M]$  is algebraic over  $F$ , where  $F[M]$  is the  $F$ -subalgebra of  $M_n(D)$  generated by  $M$  over  $F$ . If  $M$  satisfies an LPI, then  $M$  is absolutely irreducible.*

**Proof.** By the maximality of  $M$ , either  $F[M]^* = M$  or  $F[M]^* = \text{GL}_n(D)$ . We show that the first case can not occur. Indeed, by hypothesis  $M$  satisfies an LPI, so  $F[M]^*$  satisfies an LPI. Using the technique in the first part of the proof of

Theorem 2.5, we can prove that  $F[M]$  satisfies a polynomial identity. Therefore, by [10, Theorem 3.5],  $D$  is centrally finite, a contradiction.

Thus, we conclude  $F[M]^* = \text{GL}_n(D)$  and  $F[M] = \text{M}_n(D)$ . Hence  $M$  is absolutely irreducible.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with infinite center  $F$ . Assume that  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(D)$  such that  $F[M]$  is algebraic over  $F$ . If  $M$  satisfies a group identity, then  $D$  is centrally finite or  $M$  is absolutely irreducible.*

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $N$  be an almost subnormal subgroup of  $G$ . For any subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , the subgroup  $H \cap N$  is an almost subnormal subgroup of  $H$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is elementary.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with infinite center  $F$ . If  $D^*$  contains a non-central almost subnormal subgroup which satisfies an LPI  $f = 0$ , then  $D$  is centrally finite.*

**Proof.** This lemma is from [7, Theorem 1.1].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with infinite center  $F$  and  $[D : F] = \infty$ . Let  $N$  be a non-central almost subnormal subgroup of  $D^*$ . Suppose that  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $N$  such that  $C_D(M) \setminus F$  contains an algebraic element over  $F$ . If  $M$  satisfies an LPI, then  $M$  is abelian.*

**Proof.** This proof is a slight modification of the one of [9, Theorem 2]. Suppose that  $\alpha \in C_D(M) \setminus F$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Put  $L := F(\alpha)$  and  $B := C_D(L)$ . Then,  $[L : F] < \infty$ . By the Double Centralizer Theorem,  $B$  is a division ring with center  $L$ . Since  $\alpha \in C_D(M)$ , we have  $M \leq B^*$ . Therefore,  $M \leq N \cap B^* \leq N$ . By the maximality of  $M$  in  $N$ , we have  $N \cap B^* = N$  or  $N \cap B^* = M$ . The first case can not occur. To prove this, we claim that  $N \not\subseteq B^*$ . Suppose that  $N \subseteq B^*$ . Then,  $F(N) \subseteq B$ . Since  $N$  normalizes  $F(N)$ , by [3, Theorem 1], we have  $F(N) = D$  and consequently  $B = D$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\alpha$  is not in  $F$ . Hence  $N \not\subseteq B^*$  and  $N \cap B^* = M$ . By Lemma 2.8, we have  $B^* \cap N$  is an almost subnormal subgroup of  $B^*$ . Thus,  $M$  is an almost subnormal subgroup of  $B^* = C_D(F(\alpha))^*$ .

Now, suppose that  $M$  is non-abelian. By [12, Corollary 2.3],  $M$  is a non-central almost subnormal subgroup of  $B^*$ . Since  $M$  satisfies an LPI, by Lemma 2.9, we have  $[B : L] < \infty$ . Recall that  $[L : F] = r < \infty$ , so  $[B : F] < \infty$ . By part (ii) of [6, Centralizer Theorem, p. 42], we have

$$D \otimes_F L \cong \text{M}_r(B \otimes_L C_D(B)) \cong \text{M}_r(B \otimes_L C_D(C_D(L))) \cong \text{M}_r(B \otimes_L L) \cong \text{M}_r(B).$$

Since  $M_r(B)$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $F$ , we conclude that  $[D : F] < \infty$ , a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referees for the useful suggestions and comments. This research is funded by Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City (VNU-HCM) under grant number B2016-18-01.

### References

- [1] S. Akbari and M. Arian-Nejad, *Left Artinian algebraic algebras*, Algebra Colloq., 8(4) (2001), 463-470.
- [2] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale 3rd and A. V. Mikhalev, *Rings with Generalized Identities*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 196, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.
- [3] M. H. Bien, T. T. Deo and B. X. Hai, *On a division subring normalized by an almost subnormal subgroup in division rings*, arXiv:1801.01271.
- [4] M. H. Bien, D. Kiani and M. Ramezan-Nassab, *Some skew linear groups satisfying generalized group identities*, Comm. Algebra, 44(6) (2016), 2362-2367.
- [5] M. A. Dokuchaev and J. Z. Gonçalves, *Identities on units of algebraic algebras*, J. Algebra, 250(2) (2002), 638-646.
- [6] P. K. Draxl, *Skew Fields*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 81, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [7] B. X. Hai, T. H. Dung and M. H. Bien, *Almost subnormal subgroups in division rings with generalized algebraic rational identities*, arXiv:1709.04774.
- [8] B. Hartley, *Free groups in normal subgroups of unit groups and arithmetic groups*, Representation theory, group rings, and coding theory, Contemp. Math., 93, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1989), 173-177.
- [9] D. Kiani, *Polynomial identities and maximal subgroups of skew linear groups*, Manuscripta Math., 124(2) (2007), 269-274.
- [10] D. Kiani and M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, *Identities on maximal subgroups of  $GL_n(D)$* , Algebra Colloq., 12(3) (2005), 461-470.
- [11] L. Makar-Limanov, *On subnormal subgroups of skew fields*, J. Algebra, 114(2) (1988), 261-267.
- [12] N. K. Ngoc, M. H. Bien and B. X. Hai, *Free subgroups in almost subnormal subgroups of general skew linear groups*, St. Petersburg Math. J., 28(5) (2017), 707-717.
- [13] M. Ramezan-Nassab and D. Kiani, *Nilpotent and polycyclic-by-finite maximal subgroups of skew linear groups*, J. Algebra, 399 (2014), 269-276.

- [14] L. H. Rowen, Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 84, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1980.

**Truong Huu Dung**

VNUHCM - University of Science

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science

227 Nguyen Van Cu Str., Dist. 5, HCM-City, Vietnam

and

Dong Nai University

4 Le Quy Don Str., Tan Hiep Ward, Bien Hoa City

Dong Nai Province, Vietnam

e-mail: thdung@dnpu.edu.vn or dungth0406@gmail.com