

## EXTENSIONS OF GM-RINGS OVER GENERALIZED POWER SERIES RINGS

Lunqun Ouyang and Dayong Liu

Received: 18 January 2007; Revised: 8 May 2007

Communicated by Huanyin Chen

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a reduced ring,  $(S, \leq)$  a cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoid, it is shown that ring  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring. We also investigate  $GM$ -rings for some special Morita Contexts and module extensions over generalized power series rings.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 16U99, 16E50

**Keywords:**  $GM$ -rings, module extension, generalized power series

### 1. Introduction

All rings considered here are associative with identity and  $R$  denotes such a ring. We use  $U(R)$  to denote the group of units of  $R$ . Any concept and notation not defined here can be found in [6, 7].

A ring  $R$  is said to be a  $GM$ -ring provided that for any  $x, y \in R$ , there exist idempotents  $e, f \in R$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $x - eu, y - fu^{-1} \in U(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is called a clean ring if for any  $x \in R$ , there exists  $e^2 = e \in R$  such that  $x - e \in U(R)$ . Clearly, all clean rings are  $GM$ -rings. Many examples and results of  $GM$ -rings are given in [1, 2].

Let  $(S, \leq)$  be an ordered set. Recall that  $(S, \leq)$  is artinian if every strictly decreasing sequence of elements of  $S$  is finite, and that  $(S, \leq)$  is narrow if every subset of pairwise order-incomparable elements of  $S$  is finite. Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a strictly ordered monoid and  $R$  a ring. Let  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  be the set of all maps  $f : S \rightarrow R$  such that  $\text{supp}(f) = \{s \in S | f(s) \neq 0\}$  is artinian and narrow. With pointwise addition and the operation of convolution

$$(fg)(s) = \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(f,g)} f(u)g(v)$$

where  $X_s(f, g) = \{(u, v) \in S \times S \mid s = u + v, f(u) \neq 0, g(v) \neq 0\}$  is a finite set by [8, Theorem 4.1] for every  $s \in S$  and  $f, g \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ ,  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  becomes a ring, with unit element  $e^*$ , namely

$$e^*(0) = 1, \quad e^*(s) = 0 \text{ for every } s \in S, \quad s \neq 0.$$

The elements of  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  are called generalized power series with coefficients in  $R$  and exponents in  $S$ . For any  $a \in R$ ,  $C_a \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is given by  $C_a(0) = a, C_a(s) = 0$  for all  $0 \neq s \in S$ . Ordered monoid  $(S, \leq)$  is said to satisfy condition (S0) in case  $s \geq 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Henceforth, unless otherwise mentioned, in this paper,  $(S, \leq)$  will always denote a strictly ordered monoid satisfying condition (S0).

In this paper, we show that if  $R$  is a reduced ring, then ring  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring. We also investigate  $GM$ -rings for some special Morita Contexts and module extensions rings over generalized power series rings. These given generalizations of [3, Theorem], [2, Theorem 6] and [2, Theorem 11].

## 2. Main results

**Lemma 2.1.** <sup>[6]</sup> *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M_{n \times n}(R)$  the ring of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ . Then  $[[M_{n \times n}(R)^{S, \leq}]] \cong M_{n \times n}([[R^{S, \leq}]])$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** <sup>[8]</sup> *Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoid and satisfy condition (S0), and let  $f \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ . Then  $f \in U([[R^{S, \leq}]])$  if and only if  $f(0) \in U(R)$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $e_1^2 = e_1, e_2^2 = e_2 \in R$ . Then  $[[ (e_1 R e_2)^{S, \leq} ]]$  =  $C_{e_1}[[R^{S, \leq}]]C_{e_2}$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $f \in C_{e_1}[[R^{S, \leq}]]C_{e_2}$ , there exists  $g \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$  such that  $f = C_{e_1}gC_{e_2}$ . Thus for any  $s \in S$ , we have  $f(s) = (C_{e_1}gC_{e_2})(s) = C_{e_1}(0)(gC_{e_2})(s) = C_{e_1}(0)g(s)c_{e_2}(0) = e_1g(s)e_2 \in e_1Re_2$ . So  $f \in [[(e_1Re_2)^{S, \leq}]]$ . Hence  $C_{e_1}[[R^{S, \leq}]]C_{e_2} \subseteq [[(e_1Re_2)^{S, \leq}]]$ . Conversely, for any  $f \in [[(e_1Re_2)^{S, \leq}]]$  and any  $s \in \text{supp}(f)$ , there exists  $r_s \in R$  such that  $0 \neq f(s) = e_1r_s e_2 \in e_1Re_2$ . Define a map  $g : S \rightarrow R$  via

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} r_s, & s \in \text{supp}(f) \\ 0, & s \in S \setminus \text{supp}(f) \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f)$ . Thus  $g \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ . For any  $s \in \text{supp}(f)$ ,  $(C_{e_1}gC_{e_2})(s) = e_1g(s)e_2 = e_1r_s e_2 = f(s)$ , for any  $s \in S \setminus \text{supp}(f)$ ,  $(C_{e_1}gC_{e_2})(s) = 0 = f(s)$ . Thus  $f = C_{e_1}gC_{e_2} \in C_{e_1}[[R^{S, \leq}]]C_{e_2}$ . This implies that  $[[ (e_1 R e_2 )^{S, \leq} ]]$   $\subseteq C_{e_1}[[R^{S, \leq}]]C_{e_2}$ . Therefore we have  $[[ (e_1 R e_2 )^{S, \leq} ]]$  =  $C_{e_1}[[R^{S, \leq}]]C_{e_2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring. Then  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring.*

**Proof.** Let  $f, g \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ , There exist  $e^2 = e, f^2 = f \in R$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $f(0) - eu, g(0) - fu^{-1} \in U(R)$  by  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring. Since  $C_u C_{u^{-1}} = e^*$ , and  $(f - C_e C_u)(0), (g - C_f C_u^{-1})(0) \in U(R)$ , it is easy to see that  $f - C_e C_u, g - C_f C_u^{-1} \in U([[R^{S, \leq}]])$  and  $C_e^2 = C_e, C_f^2 = C_f, C_u \in U([[R^{S, \leq}]])$ . Thus  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring.  $\square$

**Example 1** Let  $\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  denote the monoid which consists of natural numbers and zero. If  $S = \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  with the usual order. Then  $[[R^{S, \leq}]] \cong R[[X]]$  (rings of formal power series in one indeterminate and coefficients in  $R$ ). So if  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring, then  $R[[X]]$  is also a  $GM$ -ring. [2, Theorem 14]

**Example 2** Let  $S = N^n \cup \{0\}$ , with the usual order ( $\Pi \leq_i$ ), or the lexicographic ( $lex \leq_i$ ) order, or the reverse lexicographic ( $revlex \leq_i$ ) order. If  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring, then  $[[R^{N^n \cup \{0\}, \Pi \leq_i}]]$ ,  $[[R^{N^n \cup \{0\}, lex \leq_i}]]$ ,  $[[R^{N^n \cup \{0\}, revlex \leq_i}]]$  are also  $GM$ -rings. Since rational number field  $Q$  and real number field  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $GM$ -rings, then  $[[Q^{N^n \cup \{0\}, \Pi \leq_i}]]$ ,  $[[Q^{N^n \cup \{0\}, lex \leq_i}]]$ ,  $[[Q^{N^n \cup \{0\}, revlex \leq_i}]]$  and  $[[\mathbb{R}^{N^n \cup \{0\}, \Pi \leq_i}]]$ ,  $[[\mathbb{R}^{N^n \cup \{0\}, lex \leq_i}]]$ ,  $[[\mathbb{R}^{N^n \cup \{0\}, revlex \leq_i}]]$  are  $GM$ -rings.

Let  $(S_1, \leq_1), (S_2, \leq_2), \dots, (S_n, \leq_n)$  be cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoids satisfying the condition (S0). If  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring, then  $[[R^{S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n, \Pi \leq_i}]]$ ,  $[[R^{S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n, (lex \leq_i)}]]$ ,  $[[R^{S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n, (revlex \leq_i)}]]$  are  $GM$ -rings.

A ring  $R$  is called reduced if it has no nonzero nilpotent element. It was proved in [5, Lemma 3.4] that if  $R$  is a reduced ring, and  $(S, \leq)$  a cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoid. Then for every idempotent  $f^2 = f \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $f = C_e$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced ring,  $(S, \leq)$  a cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoid. If  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring, then  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring.*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in R$ , then  $C_a, C_b \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ . Since  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring, there exist  $C_e^2 = C_e, C_f^2 = C_f \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$  where  $e^2 = e \in R, f^2 = f \in R$ , and  $\tau \in U([[R^{S, \leq}]])$  such that  $C_a - C_e \tau, C_b - C_f \tau^{-1} \in U([[R^{S, \leq}]])$ . Thus  $(C_a - C_e \tau)(0) = a - e\tau(0) \in U(R)$  and  $(C_b - C_f \tau^{-1})(0) = b - f\tau^{-1}(0) \in U(R)$ . This implies that  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring.  $\square$

**Example 3** Let  $R$  be a reduced ring. If the formal power series ring  $R[[X]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring, then so is  $R$  by Lemma 2.5. This can be proved in a directly simple manner. Given any  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $x, y \in R[[X]]$  as well. Thus we can find

idempotents  $e(x), f(x) \in R[[X]]$  and a unit  $u(x) \in R[[X]]$  such that  $x - e(x)u(x), y - f(x)u(x)^{-1} \in U(R[[X]])$ . It is well known that  $h(x) \in R[[X]]$  is a unit if and only if  $h(0) \in R$  is a unit, and if  $R$  is a reduced ring, then the set of all idempotents in  $R[[X]]$  equal to the set of all idempotents in  $R$ . Thus we know  $x - e(0)u(0), y - f(0)u(0)^{-1} \in U(R)$ , One easily checks that  $e(0) = e, f(0) = f$  are idempotents and  $u(0) \in R$  is a unit. Thus  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring.

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in R$  be idempotents. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} C_{e_1}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_1}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{e_n}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_n}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_n} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C_{e_1}r_{11}C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_1}r_{1n}C_{e_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{e_n}r_{n1}C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_n}r_{nn}C_{e_n} \end{pmatrix} r_{ij} \in [[R^{S,\leq}]](0 \leq i, j \leq n) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

form a ring with the identity  $diag(C_{e_1}, \dots, C_{e_n})$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be idempotents of a ring  $R$ . If all  $e_i R e_i$  are  $GM$ -rings, then so is the ring*

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{e_1}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_1}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{e_n}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_n}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** Clearly, the ring  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & \cdots & e_1 R e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_n R e_1 & \cdots & e_n R e_n \end{pmatrix}$  is a  $GM$ -ring by virtue of [2, Lemma 1]. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & \cdots & e_1 R e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_n R e_1 & \cdots & e_n R e_n \end{pmatrix}^{S,\leq} \right] \right] \\ & \cong \left[ \left[ (diag(e_1, \dots, e_n)M_n(R)diag(e_1, \dots, e_n))^{S,\leq} \right] \right] \\ & \cong \left[ \left[ (diag(e_1, \dots, e_n)^{S,\leq}) \left[ \left[ (M_n(R))^{S,\leq} \right] \right] \left[ \left[ (diag(e_1, \dots, e_n)^{S,\leq}) \right] \right] \right] \right] \\ & \cong diag(C_{e_1}, \dots, C_{e_n})M_n([[R^{S,\leq}]])diag(C_{e_1}, \dots, C_{e_n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cong \begin{pmatrix} C_{e_1}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_1}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{e_n}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_1} & \cdots & C_{e_n}[[R^{S,\leq}]]C_{e_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apply Lemma 2.4, we get the result.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ - module.  $[[M^{S,\leq}]]$  denotes the set of all maps  $\phi : S \rightarrow M$  such that  $\text{supp}(\phi) = \{s \in S | \phi(s) \neq 0\}$  is artinian and narrow. From [9], it is immediate that  $[[M^{S,\leq}]]$  is an  $[[R^{S,\leq}]]$ - module. For any  $f \in [[R^{S,\leq}]]$ ,  $\phi \in [[M^{S,\leq}]]$  and  $s \in S$ , the scalar multiplication is defined as follow:

$$(f\phi)(s) = \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(f,\phi)} f(u)\phi(v).$$

Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be associative rings with identity. Let  $M_{21}, M_{31}, M_{32}$  be  $(A_2, A_1)$ -,  $(A_3, A_1)$ -,  $(A_3, A_2)$ -bimodule, respectively. Let  $\psi : M_{32} \otimes_{A_2} M_{21} \rightarrow M_{31}$  be an  $(A_3, A_1)$ -homomorphism, and let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M_{21} & A_2 & 0 \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}, T^S = \begin{pmatrix} [[A_1^{S,\leq}]] & 0 & 0 \\ [[M_{21}^{S,\leq}]] & [[A_2^{S,\leq}]] & 0 \\ [[M_{31}^{S,\leq}]] & [[M_{32}^{S,\leq}]] & [[A_3^{S,\leq}]] \end{pmatrix},$$

with the usual matrix operations (see[4]),  $T$  is a ring. Now we show that  $T^S$  is also a ring.

**Theorem 2.7.** *There exists a  $([[A_3^{S,\leq}]], [A_1^{S,\leq}])$ -homomorphism*

$$\psi^S : [[M_{32}^{S,\leq}]] \otimes_{[[A_2^{S,\leq}]]} [[M_{21}^{S,\leq}]] \rightarrow [[M_{31}^{S,\leq}]]$$

such that with the usual matrix operations ,  $T^S$  is a ring.

**Proof.** Since  $M_{32}, M_{21}$  is  $(A_3, A_2)$ -,  $(A_3, A_1)$ -bimodule, respectively, according to [9], it is easy to see that  $[[M_{32}^{S,\leq}]]$  is a  $([[A_3^{S,\leq}]], [[A_2^{S,\leq}]])$ - bimodule, and  $[[M_{21}^{S,\leq}]]$  is a  $([[A_2^{S,\leq}]], [[A_1^{S,\leq}]])$ -bimodule. Consider following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [[M_{32}^{S,\leq}]] \times [[M_{21}^{S,\leq}]] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & [[M_{32}^{S,\leq}]] \otimes_{[[A_2^{S,\leq}]]} [[M_{21}^{S,\leq}]] \\ f \downarrow & \swarrow \psi^S & \\ [[M_{31}^{S,\leq}]] & & \end{array}$$

Let  $n \in [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]]$  and  $m \in [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]]$ . Define a map

$$\alpha_{[n,m]} : S \longrightarrow M_{31}, \quad \alpha_{[n,m]}(s) = \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n,m)} \psi(n(u) \otimes m(v))$$

for any  $s \in S$ . It is clearly that  $\text{supp}(\alpha_{[n,m]}) \subseteq \text{supp}(n) + \text{supp}(m)$ , thus  $\alpha_{[n,m]} \in [[M_{31}^{S, \leq}]]$ .

Define a map  $f : [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]] \times [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]] \longrightarrow [[M_{31}^{S, \leq}]]$ , where  $f((n, m)) = \alpha_{[n,m]}$  for any  $(n, m) \in [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]] \times [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]]$ . Let  $n_1, n_2 \in [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]], m \in [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]]$ . By the preceding discussions, there exist  $\alpha_{[n_1,m]}, \alpha_{[n_2,m]}, \alpha_{[n_1+n_2,m]} \in [[M_{31}^{S, \leq}]]$ . For all  $s \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{[n_1+n_2,m]}(s) &= \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n_1+n_2,m)} \psi((n_1+n_2)(u) \otimes m(v)) \\ &= \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n_1+n_2,m)} \psi(n_1(u) \otimes m(v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n_1+n_2,m)} \psi(n_2(u) \otimes m(v)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $(u', v') \in X_s(n_1, m)$ , but  $(u', v') \notin X_s(n_1+n_2, m)$ , then we have  $(n_1+n_2)(u') = 0$ . So  $n_2(u') \neq 0$ , thus  $(u', v') \in X_s(n_2, m)$  and  $\psi(n_1(u') \otimes m(v')) + \psi(n_2(u') \otimes m(v')) = \psi((n_1(u') + n_2(u')) \otimes m(v')) = 0$ . Likewise, if  $(u', v') \in X_s(n_2, m)$ , but  $(u', v') \notin X_s(n_1+n_2, m)$ , we also have  $(u', v') \in X_s(n_1, m)$  and  $\psi(n_1(u') \otimes m(v')) + \psi(n_2(u') \otimes m(v')) = \psi((n_1(u') + n_2(u')) \otimes m(v')) = 0$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{[n_1+n_2,m]}(s) &= \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n_1+n_2,m)} \psi(n_1(u) \otimes m(v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n_1+n_2,m)} \psi(n_2(u) \otimes m(v)) \\ &= \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n_1,m)} \psi(n_1(u) \otimes m(v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(n_2,m)} \psi(n_2(u) \otimes m(v)) \\ &= \alpha_{[n_1,m]}(s) + \alpha_{[n_2,m]}(s) \\ &= (\alpha_{[n_1,m]} + \alpha_{[n_2,m]})(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha_{[n_1+n_2,m]} = \alpha_{[n_1,m]} + \alpha_{[n_2,m]}$ , hence  $f((n_1+n_2, m)) = f((n_1, m)) + f((n_2, m))$ . Analogously, we see that  $f((n, m_1 + m_2)) = f((n, m_1)) + f((n, m_2))$  for all  $n \in [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]], m_1, m_2 \in [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]]$ .

For any  $n \in [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]]$ ,  $\tau \in [[A_2^{S, \leq}]]$ ,  $m \in [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]]$  and any  $s \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f((n\tau, m))(s) &= \alpha_{[n\tau, m]}(s) \\
&= \sum_{(u', u) \in X_s(n\tau, m)} \psi((n\tau)(u') \otimes m(u)) \\
&= \sum_{(u', u) \in X_s(n\tau, m)} \psi\left(\sum_{(v, w) \in X_{u'}(n, \tau)} (n(v)\tau(w) \otimes m(u))\right) \\
&= \sum_{(u', u) \in X_s(n\tau, m)} \sum_{(v, w) \in X_{u'}(n, \tau)} \psi(n(v)\tau(w) \otimes m(u)) \\
&= \sum_{(u', u) \in X_s(n\tau, m)} \sum_{(v, w) \in X_{u'}(n, \tau)} \psi(n(v)\tau(w) \otimes m(u)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{(v, w, u) \in X} \psi(n(v)\tau(w) \otimes m(u)) \\
&= \sum_{(v, w, u) \in X_s(n, \tau, m)} \psi(n(v)\tau(w) \otimes m(u)) \\
&= \sum_{(v, w, u) \in X_s(n, \tau, m)} \psi(n(v) \otimes \tau(w)m(u)) \\
&= f((n, \tau m))(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Where  $X = \{(v, w, u) \in X_s(n, \tau, m) | n\tau(v + w) = 0\}$ . Thus we have  $f(n\tau, m) = f(n, \tau m)$  and hence  $f$  is a bilinear balanced morphism. Then there exists a homomorphism  $\psi^S : [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]] \otimes_{[[A_2^{S, \leq}]]} [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]] \rightarrow [[M_{31}^{S, \leq}]]$  such that the preceding diagram commutes.

Next, we check that  $\psi^S$  is a bimodule homomorphism. For any  $a \in [[A_3^{S, \leq}]]$ ,  $n \in [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]]$ ,  $m \in [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]]$  and any  $s \in S$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^S(an, m)(s) &= \alpha_{[an, m]}(s) \\
&= \sum_{(u', u) \in X_s(an, m)} \psi((an)(u') \otimes m(u)) \\
&= \sum_{(u', u) \in X_s(an, m)} \psi\left(\sum_{(v, w) \in X_{u'}(a, n)} (a(v)n(w) \otimes m(u))\right) \\
&= \sum_{(v, w, u) \in X_s(a, n, m)} \psi(a(v)n(w) \otimes m(u)) \\
&= \sum_{(v, w, u) \in X_s(a, n, m)} a(v)\psi(n(w) \otimes m(u)) \\
&= a\psi^S(n, m)(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\psi^S(an, m) = a\psi^S(n, m)$ . This implies that  $\psi^S$  is a left  $[[A_3^{S, \leq}]]$ - module homomorphism. Analogously, it is easy to verify that  $\psi^S$  is a right  $[[A_1^{S, \leq}]]$ - module homomorphism. Thus  $\psi^S$  is a bimodule homomorphism. With the usual matrix operations,  $T^S$  is a ring, see [4].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be reduced rings,  $(S, \leq)$  a cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoid. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  are GM-rings.
- (2) The formal triangular matrix ring over generalized power series

$$T^S = \begin{pmatrix} [[A_1^{S, \leq}]] & 0 & 0 \\ [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]] & [[A_2^{S, \leq}]] & 0 \\ [[M_{31}^{S, \leq}]] & [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]] & [[A_3^{S, \leq}]] \end{pmatrix}$$

is a GM-ring.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  are GM-rings, so are rings  $[[A_1^{S, \leq}]], [A_2^{S, \leq}]$  and  $[A_3^{S, \leq}]$  by virtue of Lemma 2.4. According to [2, Theorem 6], the result follows.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Applying [2, Theorem 6], we have  $[[A_1^{S, \leq}]], [A_2^{S, \leq}]$  and  $[A_3^{S, \leq}]$  are GM-rings. Then according to Lemma 2.5, we get the result.  $\square$

**Example 4** Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be reduced rings and  $N$  the semigroup of natural numbers. Let  $S = N \cup \{0\}$ , with the usual order. then

$$\begin{aligned} T^S &= \begin{pmatrix} [[A_1^{S, \leq}]] & 0 & 0 \\ [[M_{21}^{S, \leq}]] & [[A_2^{S, \leq}]] & 0 \\ [[M_{31}^{S, \leq}]] & [[M_{32}^{S, \leq}]] & [[A_3^{S, \leq}]] \end{pmatrix} \\ &\cong \begin{pmatrix} A_1[[X]] & 0 & 0 \\ M_{21}[[X]] & A_2[[X]] & 0 \\ M_{31}[[X]] & M_{32}[[X]] & A_3[[X]] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_i[[X]]$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) is the ring of formal power series, and  $M_{ij}[[X]]$  ( $i = 2, 3, j = 1, 2$ ) is a bimodule of power series rings. If  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  are GM-rings, then  $T^S$  is also a GM-ring. Actually, let

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{21} & f_2 & 0 \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & f_3 \end{pmatrix} \in T^S, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ n_{21} & g_2 & 0 \\ n_{31} & n_{32} & g_3 \end{pmatrix} \in T^S.$$

Since  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) is a GM-ring, by Lemma 2.4, we have  $A_i[[X]]$  is also a GM-ring. Thus there exist  $e_i^2 = e_i, p_i^2 = p_i \in A_i[[X]], u_i \in U(A_i[[X]])$  and  $v_i \in U(A_i[[X]]), v_i' \in U(A_i[[X]])$  such that  $f_i = e_i u_i + v_i$ , and  $g_i = p_i u_i^{-1} + v_i'$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).

Set

$$F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e_3 \end{pmatrix}, W = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_3 \end{pmatrix}, K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{21} & v_2 & 0 \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & v_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $F_1^2 = F_1 \in T^S$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & K_1 \begin{pmatrix} v_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ -v_2^{-1}m_{21}v_1^{-1} & v_2^{-1} & 0 \\ v_3^{-1}m_{32}v_2^{-1} \otimes m_{21}v_1^{-1} - v_3^{-1}m_{31}v_1^{-1} & -v_3^{-1}m_{32}v_2^{-1} & v_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} v_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ -v_2^{-1}m_{21}v_1^{-1} & v_2^{-1} & 0 \\ v_3^{-1}m_{32}v_2^{-1} \otimes m_{21}v_1^{-1} - v_3^{-1}m_{31}v_1^{-1} & -v_3^{-1}m_{32}v_2^{-1} & v_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} K_1 \\ &= \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1), \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $F_1$  is a idempotent and  $K_1$  is a unit. Moreover,  $F = F_1W + K_1$

and  $W$  is a unit. Analogously, we have a idempotent  $F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}$ , and a

unit  $K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} v'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ n_{21} & v'_2 & 0 \\ n_{31} & n_{32} & v'_3 \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $G = F_2W^{-1} + K_2$ . Therefore we conclude

that  $T^S$  is a  $GM$ -ring. Conversely, if  $T^S$  is a  $GM$ -ring, similar to the proof of Theorem 6 in [2], we obtain that  $A_i[[X]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring. Then by Lemma 2.5, we have  $A_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$  is a  $GM$ -ring.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced ring,  $(S, \leq)$  a cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoid. A ring  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring if and only if the ring of all  $n \times n$  lower triangular matrices over  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring.*

**Proof.** According to Theorem 2.8, the result follows.  $\square$

Analogously, let  $R$  be a reduced ring,  $(S, \leq)$  a cancellative torsion-free strictly ordered monoid. we deduce that a ring  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring if and only if the ring of all  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrices over  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a  $GM$ -ring.

Let  $M$  be a  $(R, R)$ -bimodule, then the module extension of  $R$  by  $M$  is the ring  $R \bowtie M$  with the usual addition and multiplication defined by  $(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2 + m_1r_2)$  for  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . Now we investigate  $GM$ -rings for module extension of  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  by  $[[M^{S, \leq}]]$  and introduce a large class of such rings.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let ring  $R \bowtie M$  be the module extension of  $R$  by  $M$ . Let  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[M^{S,\leq}]]$  be the module extension of  $[[R^{S,\leq}]]$  by  $[[M^{S,\leq}]]$ . Then  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[M^{S,\leq}]] \cong [[(R \bowtie M)^{S,\leq}]]$ .*

**Proof.** Let

$$T(R, M) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \mid r \in R, m \in M \right\},$$

$$T^*(R, M) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f & m \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in [[R^{S,\leq}]], m \in [[M^{S,\leq}]] \right\}.$$

With the usual matrix operations,  $T(R, M)$  and  $T^*(R, M)$  are rings. As in the proof of [7, Proposition 4.3], it is easy to show that  $T^*(R, M) \cong [[T(R, M)^{S,\leq}]]$ . Moreover,  $R \bowtie M \cong T(R, M)$  and  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[M^{S,\leq}]] \cong T^*(R, M)$ . So  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[M^{S,\leq}]] \cong [[(R \bowtie M)^{S,\leq}]]$ , as asserted.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  a  $(R, R)$ -bimodule. If  $R$  is a GM-ring, then  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[M^{S,\leq}]]$  is a GM-ring.*

**Proof.** Since  $R$  is a GM-ring, so is ring  $R \bowtie M$  by [2, Theorem 11]. Use the fact that  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[M^{S,\leq}]] \cong [[(R \bowtie M)^{S,\leq}]]$ , then the result follows by Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $R$  is a GM-ring, then  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[R^{S,\leq}]]$  is a GM-ring.*

**Proof.** It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.11.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring with artinian primitive factors. Then  $[[R^{S,\leq}]] \bowtie [[R^{S,\leq}]]$  is a GM-ring.*

**Proof.** Since  $R$  is an exchange ring with artinian primitive factors, it is a GM-ring. Thus we get the result by Corollary 2.12.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his (her) careful reading of the article and nice suggestions which lead to the current versions of Examples 1, 2 and 3.

## References

- [1] H. Chen, Stable ranges for Morita contexts, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 25(2001), 209-216.
- [2] H. Chen, Extensions of GM-rings, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 55(130)(2005), 273-281.

- [3] J. Han and W. K. Nicholson, Extensions of Clean Rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 29(6)(2001), 2589-2595.
- [4] Y. Hirano, Another Triangular Matrix Ring Have Auslander-Gorenstein Property, *Comm. Algebra*, 29(2001), 719-735.
- [5] Z. Liu, Special Properties of Generalized Power Series, *Comm. Algebra*, 32(8)(2004), 3215-3226.
- [6] P. Ribenboim, Semisimple Rings and Von Neumann Regular Rings of Generalized power series, *J. Algebra*, 198(1991), 327-338.
- [7] P. Ribenboim, Noetherian Rings of Generalized Power Series, *J. Pure. Appl. Algebra.*, 79(1992), 293-312.
- [8] P. Ribenboim, Rings of Generalized Power Series,II: Units and Zero-Divisors, *J. Algebra*, 168(1994), 71-89.
- [9] K. Varadarajan, Generalized Power Series Modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 29(3)(2001), 1281-1294.

**Lunqun Ouyang**

1. Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, P. R. China
  2. Department of Mathematics, Hunnan Science and Technology University, Xiangtan 411201, P. R. China
- E-mail: Ouyanglqtxy@163.com

**Dayong Liu**

Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, P. R. China  
E-mail: liudy7082@sina.com